Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering and Sciences 6(1), March, 2025.
ISSN: 2141-8217.
https://journals.unizik.edu.ng/joires/about

# The effect of post application of biochar on compost manure

*(pp. 680-703)*

Emeka Ignatius Okeke
Department of Civil Engineering, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria

Nkeiruka Enyinnaya Nwaiwu
Department of Civil Engineering, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria

Chukwunonso C. Okoye
Department of Chemical Engineering, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria

John Chukwuma Okonkwo
Department of Civil Engineering, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria;
**Correspondence email:** talk2mekus57@gmail.com .

**Abstract:** Composting is a reliable technology to treat food wastes (FW) and produce high quality compost. In recent years, considerable studies have been devoted to investigating the effect of biochar application on organic solid waste composting. This study investigated the performance of mature compost when biochar is applied after composting processes and compost quality. Biochar characteristics and its application coupled with the basic concepts of composting are briefly introduced before detailing the effects of biochar addition on composting. According to recent studies, biochar has exhibited great potential for enhancing composting. It is evident that biochar addition in composting can: (1) improve compost mixture physicochemical properties, (2) enhance microbial activities and promote organic matter decomposition, (3) reduce ammonia ($NH_3$) and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, and (4) upgrade compost quality by increasing the total/available nutrient content, enhancing maturity, and decreasing phytotoxicity. Despite that, this research explored the mechanism of biochar addition on composting after composting to discover any effect. From the results, there was virtually no difference in the results and the already established ones.

**Keywords:** Biochar, Composting, Emission, Greenhouse, Microbial activities

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Industrialization Soil fertility issues are of particularly serious concern in Sub-Saharan Africa due to inherently low nutrient levels caused by the weathered nature of soil parent materials (Nisha, Barnali, Shabana, Meena and Shah, 2017). Africa has high yield variety


©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)

of crops (Dimkpa *et al.,* 2019). The use of high-yielding crop varieties and their associated high Nitrogen (N) needs have led to significant stripping of the soil of micronutrients (Saalah, Rajin, Yaser, Azmi. and Mohammad, 2020; Shi *et al.,* 2016). According to Dimkpa *et al.* (2015) these reasons and the inadequate, non-amendment of agricultural soils with mineral fertilizers, African soils have been rendered less productive (Nisha *et al.,* 2017). Of the five African countries recently studied for crop responses to nutrients in multiple locations, only Malawi showed available P median level, averaging 33.6 mg/kg, that was above the critical level of 15 mg/kg needed for good maize productivity. The rest, including Nigeria, Tanzania, Kenya and Mali, had available phosphorus, P median values of between 3.6 mg/kg and 9.9 mg/kg (Kihara *et al*., 2016; Shuhaimi, Kanakarajuand Nori, 2019). Ironically it is in these same regions of the world with low soil fertility that farmers especially small holders have the most limited access to standard soil analysis infrastructure and accompanying appropriate fertilizers (Abdullah *et al.,* 2021; Abu-Samah *et al.,* 2020; Bocchini *et al.,* 2015; Chung *et al.,* 2021; Crohn, 2016). Given these scenarios, fertilizers should be effective for crop production (Dotaniya and Meena, 2015; Singh and Kalamdhad, 2016).

Fertilizers may be made up of one or more essential nutrients, It is required to be applied to the soil to replace the nutrients taken up by crop from the land with the primary goal of maximizing productivity and economic returns (Ding *et al.,* 2016). Nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium (NPK) are the major nutrients required by plants. Fertilizers can be grouped into organic or inorganic fertilizer according to their source of production (Wang *et al.,* 2017). Organic fertilizer is a fertilizer that is produced from organic substances or materials which could be biofertilizers or composts, while inorganic fertilizer is made from synthetic or inorganic raw materials. The application of chemical fertilizers enhances crop growth and good yield thereby meeting the food security (Alshehrei and Ameen, 2021; Antonangelo, Sun and Zhang, 2021; Chen *et al.,* 2018a; Yang *et al.,* 2016). However, the improper use of chemical fertilizers has resulted in pollution of soil, water and air, thereby raising important questions regarding food quality and environmental safety (Agapios, Andreas, Marinos, Katerina and Antonis, 2020; Kolbe, Aira, Gómez-Brandón, Pérez-Losada and Domínguez, (2019). According to Rai, Ashiya, and Rathore (2014) chemical fertilizers have been said to be toxic to soil organisms such as earthworms. The deleterious \effect caused by the chemical fertilizers on the environment through chemical toxicity and leaching into the waterways thereby affecting aquatic life directly or indirectly has necessitated the need for safer alternatives. To overcome this, the use of organic materials may play a good role. Organic material in municipal waste is rich in nutrients: proteins, cellulose and lignin (Das, Huque, Amanullah, Dharmapuri and Makkar, 2018; Zheng *et al*., 2017). Some alternatives have been proposed such as the use of microorganisms, composting, among others.


*©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)*

## 2.0 COMPOSTING

Composting is a means of transforming different degradable wastes into products that can be used safely and beneficially as biofertilizers and soil amendments (Paul, Kauser, Jain, Khwairakpam, Kalamdhad, 2020; Pudełko, Postawa, Stachowiak, Malińska and Dróżdż, 2021; Rathore, Sarmah, 2020; Rehman et al.. 2020). Awasthi *et al.* (2017) asserted that composting is an effective technique to convert organic wastes into the final product called "mature compost" through various metabolisms (Agyarko-Mintah *et al*., 2017; Janczak *et al*., 2017; Sánchez-Monedero *et al.,* 2018). It transforms waste into stable humus-like substances in aerobic biodegradation (Awasthi, Wang, Chen and Wang, 2016; Gong *et al.,* 2019; Wang and Zeng, 2018). It is a safe method of waste management. Composting increases soil biodiversity and reduces environmental risks associated with synthetic fertilizer (Bahçelioğlu et al., 2020); increases agricultural productivity and organic matter content of the soil (Al-Harbi, Obadi, Al-Omran, Abdel-Razzak, 2020), owing to the sufficient nutrient in the composted materials and the presence of plant growth-promoting organisms (Antonangelo., Sun and Zhang, 2020). It can be interpreted as the sum of complex metabolic process performed by different microorganisms that, in the presence of oxygen, use nitrogen (N) and carbon (C) available to produce their own biomass (Azim *et al.,* 2018; Van, Lee, Klemeš, Bong and Ho, 2016).

According to Pandey, Cao, Biswas and Vaddella (2016) composting is an aerobic process where complex degradable materials are degraded and transformed by microorganisms into organic and inorganic by-products that can be used safely and beneficially as biofertilizers on soil (Al-Kutti *et al.,* 2018; He *et al.,* 2018; Jarboui, Dhouib and Ammar, 2021). Compost aids soil properties in agricultural fields (Godlewska, Schmidt, Ok. and Oleszczuk, 2017; Guo, Liu and Zhang, 2020) or as an alternative to peat as a potting substrate (Chen, Zhang and Yuan, 2020; Chung *et al.,* 2021; Czekala *et al.,* 2016; Xie, Qiu and Wang, 2017). The compost byproducts contain 'humic-like' compounds that differentiate them from those found in native soil, coals, and peats (Abu-Tayeh, Azaizeh and Gerchman, 2020).

Aside usage as fertilizer, compost is useful in bioremediation (Cao *et al.,* 2020; Cao, Mukhtar, Yu, Bui and Pan, 2022); plant disease control (Boostani, Hardie, Najafi-Ghiri and Khalili, 2021); weed control (Budroni et al., 2020**);** pollution prevention (Bui, Tsai, Tseng and Ali, 2020.); erosion control, landscaping and wetland restoration. Sometimes activators like worm or biochars are added into the compost. Its incorporation into soil increases the supply of valuable nutrients including nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and carbon (C) (Bhave and Kulkarni, 2019; Chifari, Piano, Matsumoto and Tasaki, 2017).

### 2.1 Effect of biochar in composting

Biochar, a type of charcoal produced in the slow pyrolysis of organic materials, is a porous carbonaceous material produced by heating various biomass feedstocks under high temperatures in an oxygen-limited environment (Aziz *et al.,* 2023; Fan *et al.,* 2023; He *et*


*©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)*

*al.*, 2023; Layek *et al.*, 2022; Qayyum *et al.*, 2017; Rawat *et al*., 2019; Raza, Wu, Rene, Ali and Chen, 2022; Wang *et al*., 2023;). Biochar is applied to soil as a soil supplement to increase soil fertility and production (Khedulkar et al., 2023). Biochaar can be added to compost as a co-composting agent. Co-composting is the addition of materials together during composting. This is done majorly to bring about an optimum C:N, to hasten the composting process and also to improve the fertilizer quality **(**Arumugam, Renganathan, Babalola and Muthunarayanan, 2018; Limaye, Patil, Ranadive and Kamath, 2017**).** They are activators. When highly nutritious substrates are added to composts, microorganisms become more available, and the composting process is hastened (Sharma, Saha, Arora, Shah, Nain, 2017). According to Ding *et al.* (2016), the strategy behind addition of biochar is to improve the composting process by enhancing aeration (Liao, Li, Yao, 2019; Liao *et al.*, 2020; and sorption of available carbon compounds (Ding *et al.*, 2016; Liu, Yang, Gao, Li and Wam, 2017b; Zoghi, Hosseini, Kouchaksaraei, Kooch and Guidi, 2019). A proper amount of biochar improves the effective conversion of waste into beneficial products (Alshehrei and Ameen, 2021; Lee and Shin, 2021; Malińska, Zabochnicka-Świątek, Cáceres and Marfà, 2016). Sanchez-Monedero *et al*. (2018) indicated that as an additive, biochar enhanced the composting performance and humification process, resulting in enhanced quality and maturity of the compost mixture. Earlier on, Sánchez-García *et al*. (2015) showed that biochar enhances the degradation rate of organic matter during composting process (Sharma and Garg, 2018), increasing agronomical value of compost (Gong *et al.*, 2019; Jain, Jambhulkar and Kalamdhad, 2018b; Wang *et al.*, 2021).

Using biochar in composting mitigates the harmful effects of the final compost in agriculture and increases the pH of soil (Chen *et al.*, 2018b; Soobhany, Mohee and Garg, 2017), adsorbs harmful metals for a long time (Agegnehu, Srivastava and Bird, 2017; Ghazi and Karnwal, 2017; Lin *et al*., 2023; Waqas *et al.*, 2017); nutrient use efficiency (NUE) and nutrient uptake at certain application rate (Jambhulkar, Shaikh and Kumar, 2018; Jatav *et al*., 2020; Liu *et al*., 2017a; Zhang, Q. Xiao, Xue and Zhang, L. 2020); and by improving soil WHC especially in sandy soils, and drainage in clayey soils (Jia, Wang, Yuan, Ju and Yang 2016; Sengupta, Mukherjee and Halder, 2020; Shikha, Rahman, Sultana, Mottalib and Yasmin, 2023). Zheng *et al*. (2017), stated that Biochar alone or co-applied with fertilizer promotes halophyte growth in coastal soil, resulting in improved soil health (Hussain *et al*., 2016c; Rehman and Razzaq, 2017; Zhou *et al.*, 2019).

### 2.2 Plant growth promotion

When applied to soil, biochar has various positive effects on plants. First and foremost, it can enhance soil fertility increases the availability of nutrients in the soil, which will boost plant development and increase agricultural yields (Goyal *et al.*, 2023; Jasim *et al.*, 2022; Kaushal and Singh, 2022; Kumar, 2022; Ottani *et al.*, 2023). Additionally, the biochar's porous structure can enhance soil aeration and water penetration, supporting strong root development and enhancing plant water usage efficiency (Liu et al., 2022). Due to high


*©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)*

phosphorus (P) reserves in the biochar there is improvement of phosphate content in compost with biochar (Singh, Kaur and Vig, 2014). The reduction of orthophosphate after biochar amendment was mainly attributed to mineralization and microbial utilization of organic P compounds (Vandecasteele, Sinicco, D'Hose, Vanden Nest and Mondini, 2016; Wang, Zhang, Zhao, Shi and Liu, 2022; Yang *et al.*, 2020). Another study reported an enhanced plant total N, P, K, Ca uptake, and biomass upon biochar application (Yan *et al.*, 2023). Some nutrients such as potassium ($K^+$), $Ca^{2+}$, and $Mg^{2+}$ showed improved retention with biochar amendment which was due to their adsorption by negatively charged biochar surface (Yeboah, Oteng-Darko, Adomako and Malimanga, 2020; Yuan *et al*., 2017). Other elements such as $Na^+$ didn't show much change after biochar amendment which was considered favorable as $Na^+$ accumulation can cause deterioration of soil structure (Srivastava *et al.*, 2020; Zhang, Chen, Sun, Zhou and Zou, 2016).

### 2.3 Biochar and heavy metal uptake cum greengas effect

A number of recent researches have analyzed the significance of biochar for removing the heavy metals (Fan et al., 2023; He et al., 2023; Huang et al., 2023; Lin et al., 2023; Manikandan et al., 2023). Biochar has been shown to have a high adsorption capacity for a wide range of contaminants, including heavy metals, organic pollutants, and other toxins (Ahmad et al., 2021). This makes biochar an effective tool for remediation of contaminated soils, helping to reduce the risk of environmental pollution and improve the health of ecosystems (Ajala et al., 2023). Biochar contributes significantly to the world's greenhouse gas emissions because it decreases the quantity of carbon dioxide ($CO_2$) in the atmosphere and lessens the consequences of climate change. This is possible because it has the capacity to trap carbon in soil. Composting with biochar typically showed lower emission of $NH^3$ and a higher concentration of $NO^{3-}$ compared to that without biochar (Gul and Whalen, 2016; Wu *et al.*, 2017). With biochar addition, the nitrification process where $NH^3/NH^{4+}$ is converted to $NO^{3-}$ by nitrifying bacteria was enhanced, while the ammonification process (the reverse route) was suppressed (Archanjo *et al*., 2017; Daliakopoulos *et al*., 2016; López-Cano, Roig, Cayuela, Alburquerque and Sanchez-Monedero, 2016). Therefore, biochar amended compost usually shows higher total nitrogen (N) than it without biochar (He *et al.*, 2016; Xiao *et al.*, 2016).

## 3.0: MATERIALS AND METHOD

### 3.1: Materials

Humid Soil, Greenish fleshy Kitchen wastes, Brownish Waste from the compound, Used cartons and paper, Pig dung, Earthworms, Biodigester, and Water


*©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)*

### 3.2 Method

#### 3.2.1Practical Composting

Vermi-Vessel composting was used. Biodigester was constructed. Humous sand was first fed into the biodigester as underlayer. Greenish (fleshy wastes from the kitchen) and Brownish putrescibles (dried leaves from trees, banana leaves and old paper wastes) were added alternatively into the biodigester in two layers of 100cm each. Thereafter, handful of pig dungs was sparingly and evenly sprayed on it and earthworms were added on top of the wastes. This was done to enable the earthworm to act on the waste. Other layers of the green and brown wastes in three alternative layers was followed. Clean water was sprinkled on top of the compost to keep it moist. The whole setup was turned by stirring every week to make it homogenous for every part of the compost feel the activities of the worm. The turning enhanced aeration . The wastes was composted to maturity in 42 days. At the end of the composting, the waste was brought out of the digester and thoroughly and homogenously mixed together in preparation for drying.



**Plate 1 Biodigester**

#### 3.2.2 Drying

The compost was dried at room temperature of 37ºC to retain the nutruents. Again it was mixed together to make sure that homogeneity was achieved. Thereafter, it was spread out and allowed to cool.

#### 3.2.3 Determination of the nutrients:

A desired quantity was taken to the Ahmadu Bello University (ABU) laboratory, Zaria for characterization and determination of the percentages of the major elements of Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, Carbon and other minor elements. A portion was taken to the unizik laboratory for the physico- chemical analyses.


©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)

### 3.3 Characterization of the dry manure

The characterization was done by the use of SEM and EDX techniques to confirm the rapid decomposition and mineralization by the earthworms. The physico-chemical parameters were also used to confirm the rapid decomposition and mineralization by the earthworms.

#### 3.3.1 SEM analysis

SEM analysis provided essential information on surface morphology of the compost and control samples. The morphology of the composted samples was observed using a scanning electron microscope JEOL JSM 6360 operated at 20 keV. The samples were coated with gold prior the analysis. The final dried composted sample was fixed on a circular metallic sample holder by using double-sided adhesive tape and then the samples was coated with gold using sputter coater for clear visibility of picture, followed by micrographs of surface texture.

### 3.4 Physiochemical analysis of the dry manure

About 25 g of the sample was used to perform different physico-chemical analysis after composting. The samples were air dried and crushed to fine powder by a grinder and stored in airtight plastic packets. The powdered samples were subjected to physico-chemical parameters as follows:

#### 3.4.1 Determination of Sulphur Content

**Fusian**: 1.0gm of finely powdered sample was mixed with 5.0g of $NaCO_3$ a –d $NaNo_3$, in a crucible. The mixture was preheated at $400^0$c for 30 mins in an electric furnance, and the fused at $600^{0C}$. After the fusion, the crucible was allowed to cool and was placed in a $150cm^3$ beaker. Enough deionized water barely to cover the contents of the crucible was added and the beaker was heated at a temperature just below boiling on a hot plate, until the melt was thoroughly designated. The crucible was then removed and washed with deionized water. At this point $20cm^3$ of 6m HCL was added to neutralize the $NaCO_3$ and to make the solution slightly acidic. This was filtered into a $100cm^3$ volumetric flask and the volume made up to the mark with deionized water.

**Precipitation of $BaSO_4$:** The solution was brought to boilinig and $10cm^3$ of 10% $BaCl_2$ $2H_20$ was slowly added to precipitate the sulphate. The solution was allowed to cool and was filtered. The residue was washed with deionized water.

**Ignition of $BaSO_4$:** The ashless filter paper was ignited at low temperature ($40^0$c) and the precipitate weighed. The percentage sulphur in the precipitate was calculated from the expression below,


©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)

% Sulphur = $\frac{BaS0_4}{wt\ of\ sample\ in\ gram} \times 100$

### 3.4.2 Nitrogen (N) Determination

N was determined by micro-Kjeldhal method (Bremner and Mulvaney, 1982).

**Principle**: the method was the digestion of sample with hot concentrated sulphuric acid in the presence of a Kjedahl catalyst. Air dried sample (0.5 g) was taken into 100-ml digestion flask along with 10 ml of digestion acid mixture (1 g digestion mixture ($K_2SO_4$: $CuSO_4$ and $SeO_2$ in the ratio of 10:4:1) in 10 ml concentrated sulphuric acid). Initially this flask was heated at low temperature for about 30 min until the contents became light yellow green. The digest was cooled and the final volume was made 50 ml with distilled water. An aliquot of 10 ml was taken from the digested sample and reacted in micro Kjeldhal apparatus with 10 ml of 40% NaOH to produce ammonia. (This action reduced the organic nitrogen in the sample to ammonia). Boric acid indicator (5 ml) was taken into a flask and placed below the condenser of Kjeldhal apparatus so that the tip of the condenser dipped into the boric acid indicator to absorb liberated ammonia. The ammonia was trapped in dilute acid. The condensate was titrated with 0.01 N HCl using a burette. The colour change at end point was from greenish-blue to permanent light pink.

Calculation $\%\ N = (a - b) \times N\ \times 1.4S\%$

Where: *a:* sample titrate (ml), *b*: blank titrate (ml), *N:* Normality of HCl used, 1.4: multiplication factor, *S*: weight of sample (g)**.**

### 3.4.3 Phosphorus (P) Determination of phosphorus:

The phosphorus status was determined colorimetrically (AOAC, 1984). 0.5 g of the slurry sample was weighed into a well washed and dried crucible. The sample was ashed in muffle furnace at 500 Â°C for 3 h and then allowed to cool. It was digested with 5 mL of $HNO_3$ and the volume made up to 50 mL with distilled water. Approximately 5 mL of the diluted sample was pipetted into a test tube and 2 mL ammonium molybdate and 10N sulphuric acid solution was added. The mixture was swirled and allowed for 10 min. The absorbance was read at 420 nm using distilled water as blank. The standard solutions were treated as test sample. The calibration graph for the phosphate standard was used to read off the concentration of the phosphate:

$$C_p = A_b \times G_{spg}$$


©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)

Where $C_p$ is the concentration of the phosphate, Ab is the absorbance and $G_{spg}$ is the gradient from the standard plot of the phosphate concentration.

### 3.4.4 Determination of carbon content

**Procedure**

Two (2) gm of sample was weighed in a crucible ($w_1$). Sample was spread with a brush. Then the crucible was kept inside a muffle furnace and the temperature was gradually raised up to 600°C. At 800°C the temperature was kept constant and the incineration of sample was completed by heating the sample at that temperature. After incineration, the crucible was allowed to cool and transferred to a desiccator. After cooling the crucible was re-weighed ($W_2$). Deduction of $w_1$ (weight of the crucible) from $W_2$ (weight of the crucible + ash) gives the amount of carbon in the sample. Carbon content is expressed in per cent of sample.carbon content = $\frac{W2-W1\times100}{Weight\ of\ sample}$

### 3.4.5 Methods for the Heavy mental analysis

Heavy metal analysis was conducted using Agilent FS240AA Atomic Absorption Spectrophometer according to the method of American Public Health Association (APHA, 1998).

***Working principle:*** Atomic absorption spectrometer's working principle was based on the sample being aspirated into the flame and atomized when the AAS's light beam was directed through the flame into the monochromator and onto the detector that measured the amount of light absorbed by the atomized element in the flame. Since metals have their own characteristic absorption wavelength, a source lamp composed of that element was used, making the method relatively free from spectral or radiational interferences. The amount of energy of the characteristic wavelength absorbed in the flame was proportional to the concentration of the element in the sample.

**Sample Digestion** Adrian Method was adpted: Five gram (5g) of the dried sample was weighed and transferred into a digestion flask and 20ml of the acid mixture (650ml conc $HNO_3$; 80ml perchloric acid; 20ml conc $H_2SO_4$) was added. The mixture was heated in a digesting flask until a clear digest was obtained. It was diluted with distilled water to the 50ml mark.

**Stock Standard Solution** 1000 mg/l, of stock metal solution was dissolved in a minimum volume of (1+1) $HNO_3$. Diluted to 1 liter with 1% (v/v) HCL, appropriate dilution was carried out to produce 2, 4 and 6ppm working solution.


©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)

### 3.4.6 pH and electrical conductivity (EC)

A suspension was made in a flask by mixing sample with double distilled water (1:10 *w*/*v*). The suspension was shaken over a shaker for 40 min. The supernatant was taken out and analyzed for pH and EC. The pH meter and EC meter were calibrated prior to its use. (Composts of pH 6–8.5 and EC value of below 4.0 $mScm^{-1}$ are excellent for agricultural soils).

### 3.5 Grinding and "Biocharation":

The whole dried compost was finely grounded. Locally purchased Biochar from wood (another kitchen waste) was also grounded. The biochar was added to the dried and grounded compost in the ratio of 1:4. (Biochar is usually added to vermicompost during composting and not after. But in this project, it was added after composting.

### Second characterization.

A portion of the mixed dried sample of compost and biochar was taken to the laboratory for another characterization. This helped to determine the effect of the biochar on the dried compost (manure)

## 4.0: RESULTS

### 4.1 SEM analysis

The maturity of vermicompost process involves changes in texture and structure which can be determined through scanning electron microscopy (SEM). SEM analysis provided essential information on surface morphology and numerous surface irregularities in the vermicomposted mixtures that confirms the maturity and degradation of waste by earthworms. Earthworms ground the feed mixtures, and further action by microbes and enzymes in the earthworm gut disaggregates the substrates (Wang, Xiong and Kuzyakov, 2016).

The scanning electron micrograph of final vermicomposted mixtures of the final is shown in figure 4.1. The compost revealled the presence of greater number of surface changes indicating that it consists largely of solid or hollow spherical particles of variable size with small bulgings of homogenous elements. This also indicated the biodegradation and mineralization of waste by the earthworms. The photomicrograph also indicated that dark areas are organic material, and light areas are mineral matter. Moreover, the SEM investigation definitively validated the successful integration of wastes within the composite materials.


©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)



**Fig 2 SEM micrographs**

### 4.2 Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) analysis

Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) is an important instrumental technique used for the elemental or chemical analysis of a sample. The EDX analysis results of compost sample is represented in Table 1

**Table 1 EDX Results**

| Element Number | Element Symbol | Element name | Atomic Conc. | Weight Conc. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6 | C | Carbon | 68.24 | 64.65 |
| 14 | Si | Silicon | 10.68 | 20.01 |
| 7 | N | Nitrogen | 16.63 | 15.53 |
| 13 | Al | Aluminum | 2.02 | 3.64 |
| 26 | Fe | Iron | 0.48 | 1.80 |
| 20 | Ca | Calcium | 0.61 | 1.62 |
| 19 | K | Potassium | 0.20 | 0.53 |
| 12 | Mg | Magnesium | 0.31 | 0.50 |
| 15 | P | Phosphorus | 0.20 | 0.42 |
| 11 | Na | Sodium | 0.26 | 0.39 |
| 16 | S | Sulfur | 0.16 | 0.33 |
| 22 | Ti | Titanium | 0.10 | 0.33 |


©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 17 | Cl | Chlorine | 0.10 | 0.24 |

The EDX of compost sample suggested the presence of carbon, silicon, nitrogen, aluminum, iron and calcium as the primary elements and other trace elements. EDX provided detailed imaging information about the morphology and surface texture of individual particles while SEM provided the elemental composition of compost sample which also confirmed the earlier physio-chemical analyses findings.

### 4.3 Analysing the differences in pre and post addition of Biochar

**Table 2 Physico-chemical analyses results**

| Parameters | Composition ppm |
|---|---|
| Iron | 5.566 |
| Calcium | 14.407 |
| Sodium | 18.187 |
| Magnesium | 5.784 |
| Potassium | 10.002 |
| Manganese | 2.893 |
| Aluminum | 0.965 |
| Nitrogen % | 3.984 |
| Total organic Carbon % | 16.559 |
| Phosphorus | 21.432 |
| pH | 7.33 |
| EC | 3.5mS/cm |

**Table 3 Physico-chemical analyses after adding Biochar**

| Parameters | Composition ppm | Remark |
|---|---|---|
| Iron | 3.66 | |
| Calcium | 14.79 | Appreciated |
| Sodium | 10.79 | |
| Magnesium | 6.49 | Appreciated |
| Potassium | 5.66 | Appreciated |
| Manganese | 0.034 | |
| Nitrogen % | 7.17 | Appreciated |
| Carbon % | 5.47 | |
| Phosphorus | 23.11 | Appreciated |
| pH | 7.89 | Appreciated |
| EC | 56.56 µsm/cm | Appreciated |


©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)

Table 3 shows that there were appreciation in the calcium, magnesium, potassium, nitrogen phosphorus pH and EC contents. The results shows that the addition of the biochar to the compost during composting or after the composting made no difference because the result obtained is in agreement with the results obtained by the following researchers who added Biochar during composting : Chen *et al.* (2018b); Soobhany *et al*. (2017) and Yang *et al.* (2020). That of nitrogen is in agreement with the results of Liu *et al.* (2019) Sengupta, Mukherjee, Halder, (2020) and Wang *et al.* (2022). The appreciation of the phosphorus is in agreement with the results of Guo *et al.* (2020); Liao *et al.* (2019); Raza *et al.* (2022). Again the findings in the pH and phosphorus of the results agreed with those of the following researchers like Chen *et al.* (2018b); Soobhany *et al*. (2017) asserted that using biochar in vermicomposting increased the pH of soil. Guo *et al.* (2020); Raza *et al.* (2022) declared that phosphorus availability was enhanced in the vermicomposting product when biochar was added. All these are in line with the findings of Mulakkal *et al.* (2021) who asserted that biochar is made up of C content of 42.6%, total N of 0.75%, total P of 0.15%, total K of 1.06%. Other elements such as $Na^+$ did not show any increase rather it depreciated after biochar amendment which was considered favorable as $Na^+$ accumulation can cause deterioration of soil structure as stipulated by Srivastava *et al.* (2020); Zhang, Chen, Sun, Zhou and Zou, (2016). However, the result of carbon content showed that the carbon content also depreciated. This contracdicted the results gotten by Liu *et al.*, (2019) and Sengupta, Mukherjee and, S. Halder, (2020). They stated that biochar-based fertilizer increases the microbial biomasses of Carbon.

### 4.3 Organic carbon to nitrogen (C: N) ratio

The C: N ratio is an important parameter used for determining the vermicompost maturity and stability. It indicates rapid mineralization and decomposition of the initial raw material and is mostly used parameter for stability and maturity of organic wastes (Singh, Kaur and Vig, 2014). C: N ratio of <20 confirms organic waste mineralization which indicates compost maturity; however, a C:N ratio of <12 is also preferred for agricultural purpose (Ling *et al.*, 2022). From the above results of the EDX the C:N ratio was 4,16 <12 and the physiochemical was 1.06 and 3.62 <12. This showed that the C: N ratios fall within the normal range. Also from the results, it was observed that there was no difference between when Biochar was added to the compost during posting and when it was added after composting.

## 5.0: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The research has revealed that the addition of biochar to compost after composting is a sustainable tool to restore the degraded soil ecosystems. It has not only proved effective in management of soil but also is considered as eco friendly technique as compared to synthetic fertilizers. With the upsurging population growth in developing nations, there is a huge demand for plant nutrition supplements for the soil fertility. The usage of the effective composting system will reduce the uncontrolled use of chemical fertilizers which


©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)

ultimately reduces the cost of cultivation. The addition of biochar in organic solid waste composting would be a potential method for the successful management of organic waste. However, further research is needed to fulfill our understanding of the mechanisms of biochars' effect on composting and to explore the relationship between biochar, the microorganisms, and composting processes at both the pilot scale and large scale. A comparison of biochar with other additives influences on composting processes and compost.

**References**

Abdullah, R. Osman, N. Yusoff, S. Yusof, H. Halim, N.S. and Rosli, N.S. (2021). Effects of palm kernel biochar and food waste compost on the growth of palm lily (*cordyline fruticosa*), coleus (*coleus sp.*), and boat lily (*rhoeo discolor*). *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research* 19: 205-218.

Abu Tayeh, H. N., Azaizeh, H., Gerchman, Y., 2020. Circular economy in olive oil production – Olive mill solid waste to ethanol and heavy metal sorbent using microwave pretreatment. Waste Manag. 113: 321–

Abu-Samah, M.A. Abdul-Halim, M.I. Ibrahim, M.Z., Ahmad, M.N. Mohd Jan, N.H. and Kamarudin, M.K.A. (2020). Effects of food waste compost and inorganic fertilizer on growth performance of brassica juncea *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology* 29 (9s): 1600 - 1611.

Agapios, A. Andreas, V. Marinos, S. Katerina, M. and Antonis, Z.A. (2020). Waste aroma profile in the framework of food waste management through household composting. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 257: 120340.

Agegnehu, G. Srivastava, A.K. and Bird, M. I. (2017). The role of biochar and biochar-compost in improving soil quality and crop performance: a review. *Applied Soil Ecology* Volume 119, October 2017, Pages 156-170. 10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.06.008

Agyarko-Mintah, E. Cowie, A. Zwieten, L. V. Singh, B. P. Smillie, R. Harden, S. and Fornasier, F. (2017). Biochar lowers ammonia emission and improves nitrogen retention in poultry litter composting. *Waste Management.* Volume 61. (2017) pp 127-135

Ahmad, A. Aslam, Z. Bellitürk, K. Iqbal, N. Naeem, S. Idrees, M. Kaleem, Z. Nawaz, M.Y. Nawaz, M. and Sajjad, M. (2021). Vermicomposting Methods from Different Wastes: An Environment Friendly, Economically Viable and Socially Acceptable Approach for Crop Nutrition: A Review. *International Journal of Food Science and Agriculture.* 2021, *5(1)*, 58–68.

Ajala OA, Akinnawo SO, Bamisaye A, Adedipe DT, Adesina MO, Okon-Akan OA, Adebusuyi TA, Ojedokun AT, Adegoke KA, Bello OS (2023) Adsorptive removal of antibiotic pollutants from wastewater using biomass/biochar-based adsorbents. RSC Adv 13:4678-4712

Al-Harbi, A.R., Obadi, A., Al-Omran, A.M., Abdel-Razzak, H., 2020. Sweet peppers yield and quality as affected by biochar and compost as soil amendments under partial root irrigation. J. Saudi Soc. Agric. Sci. 19, 452–460.



*©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)*

Al-Kutti, W. Nasir, M. Johari, M.A. Islam, A.B. Manda, A.A and Blaisi, N.I. (2018). An overview and experimental study on hybrid binders containing date palm ash, fly ash, OPC and activator composites *Construction and Building Materials.* 159 567–577

Alshehrei, F. and Ameen, F. (2021). Vermicomposting: A management tool to mitigate solid waste. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences.* Volume 28, Issue 6, June 2021, Pages 3284-3293

Antonangelo, J. A. Sun, X. and Zhang, H. (2021). The roles of co-composted biochar (COMBI) in improving soil quality, crop productivity, and toxic metal amelioration. *Journal of Environmental Management*, Volume 277, 1 January 2021, 111443

Antonangelo, J.A., Sun, X., Zhang, H., 2020. The roles of co-composted biochar (COMBI) in improving soil quality, crop productivity, and toxic metal amelioration. J. Environ. Manage. 277, 111443.

AOAC (1984) Association of Analytical Chemistry. Method for Proximate Analysis.2217-2280

APHA, (1998). American Public Health Association (1998) 3111B, Direct Air- Acetylene Flame Method, Standard Methods for the examination of metals in blood 20th Edition, APHA, AWWA, WEF.

Archanjo, M.E. Mendoza, M. Albu, D.R.G. Mitchell, N. Hagemann, C. Mayrhofer, T.L.A. and Mai, Z. (2017). Nanoscale analyses of the surface structure and composition of biochars extracted from field trials or after co-composting using advanced analytical electron microscopy, *Geoderma*, 294 (2017), pp. 70-79, 10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.01.037

Arumugam, K.; Renganathan, S.; Babalola, O.O.; Muthunarayanan, V. Investigation on paper cup waste degradation by bacterial consortium and Eudrillus eugeinea through vermicomposting. *Waste Manag.* 2018, *74*, 185–193.

Awasthi, M. K. Wang, M. Chen, H. Wang, Q. Zhao, J. Ren, X. Li, D. Awasthi, S. K. Shen, F. Li, R. and Zhang, Z. (2017). Heterogeneity of biochar amendment to improve the carbon and nitrogen sequestration through reduce the greenhouse gases emissions during sewage sludge composting. *Bioresource Technology*, *Volume 224*, January 2017, Pages 428-438

Awasthi, M. Wang, M. Chen, Z. and Wang, Q. (2016). Role of biochar amendment in mitigation of nitrogen loss and greenhouse gas emission during sewage sludge composting. *Bioresources Technology* Doi;10.1016/j.bioresources tech.2016.07.128

Azim, K. Soudi, B. Boukhari, S. Perissol, C. Roussos, S. and Thami Alami, I. (2018). Composting parameters and compost quality: A literature review. *Organic Agriculture* 8 (2): 141-158.

Aziz S, Bibi S, Hasan MM, Biswas P, Ali MI, Bilal M, Chopra H, Mukerjee N, Maitra S (2023) A review on influence of biochar amendment on soil processes and environmental remediation. Biotechnol Genet Eng Rev1-35. DOI: 10.1080/02648725.2022.2122288



*©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)*

Bahçelioğlu, E., Buğdaycı, E.S., Doğan, N.B., Şimşek, N., Kaya, S.Ö., Alp, E., 2020. Integrated solid waste management strategy of a large campus: A comprehensive study on METU campus, Turkey. J. Clean. Prod. 121715.

Bhave, P.P. and Kulkarni, B.N. (2019). Effect of active and passive aeration on composting of household biodegradable wastes: A decentralized approach. *International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture*.

Boostani, H.R., Hardie, A.G., Najafi-Ghiri, M., Khalili, D., 2021 The effect of soil moisture regime and biochar application on lead (Pb) stabilization in a contaminated soil. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 208, 111626.

Bremner, J. M. and Mulvaney, C. S. (1982). Total nitrogen in Methods of soil Analysis, *American Society of Agronomy and Soil Science Society of America Madison*, pp 1119-1123

Budroni, M., Mannazzu, I., Zara, S., Saba, S., Pais, A., Zara, G., 2020. Composition and functional profiling of the microbiota in the casts of Eisenia fetida during vermicomposting of brewers' spent grains. Biotechnol. Reports 25, e00439.

Bui, T.D., Tsai, F.M., Tseng, M.-L., Ali, M.H., 2020. Identifying sustainable solid waste management barriers in practice using the fuzzy Delphi method. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 154, 104625.

Cao Y, Wang X, Liu L, et al. (2020). Acidification of manure reduces gaseous emissions and nutrient losses from subsequent composting process. J Environ Manage. 2020;264:110454.

Cao, T.N.D. Mukhtar, H. Yu, C.P. Bui, X.T. and Pan, S.Y. (2022) Agricultural waste-derived biochar in microbial fuel cells towards a carbon-negative circular economy. *Renew Sust Energ Rev* 170:112965. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2022.112965

Chen, J. Lü, S. Zhang, Z. Zhao, X. Li, X. Ning, P. and Liu, M. (2018a). Environmentally friendly fertilizers: A review of materials used and their effects on the environment. *Science of. Total Environment.* Volume 613–614,

Chen, L. Chen, Q. Rao, P. Yan, L. Shakib, A. and Shen, G. N. (2018b). Formulating and optimizing a novel biochar-based fertilizer for simultaneous slow-release of nitrogen and immobilization of cadmium. *Sustainability.* 10(8), 2740 (2018). https://doi.org/10.3390/su10082740

Chen, T. Zhang, S. and Yuan, Z. (2020). Adoption of solid organic waste composting products: A critical review. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 272: 122712.

Chifari, R. L. Piano, S. Matsumoto, S. and Tasaki, T. (2017) Does recyclable separation reduce the cost of municipal waste management in Japan? *Journal of Waste Management* 60:32–41.https: //doi. org/10.10 16 /j. wasman.2017.01.015

Chung, W. J. Chang, S. W. Chaudhary, D. K. Shin, J. Kim, H. Karmegam, N. Govarthanan, M. Chandrasekaran, M. and Ravindran, B. (2021). Effect of biochar amendment on compost quality, gaseous emissions and pathogen reduction during in-vessel composting of chicken manure. *Chemosphere* 283, 131129

Crohn, D.M. (2016). Assessing Compost Quality for Agriculture. ANR 8514, University of California. https://anrcatalog.ucanr.edu/Details.aspx itemNo=8514



*©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)*

Czekała, W. Malińska, K. Cáceres, R. Janczak, D. Dach, J. and Lewicki, A. (2016). Co-composting of poultry manure mixtures amended with biochar – the effect of biochar on temperature and C-CO2 emission. *Bioresources Technology,* 200 (2016), pp. 921- 927, 10.1016/j. biortech.2015.11.019

Daliakopoulos, I.N. Tsanis, I.K. Koutroulis, A. Kourgialas, N.N. Varouchaks, A.E. Karatzas, G.P. and Ritsema, C.J. (2016). The threat of soil salinity: a European scale review. *Science of The Total Environment* Volume 573, 15 December 2016, Pages 727-739

Das, N.G. Huque, K.S. Amanullah, S.M. Dharmapuri, S. and Makkar, H.P.S. (2018). Study of chemical composition and nutritional values of vegetable wastes in Bangladesh. *Veterinary and Animal Science.* Volume 5, June 2018, Pages 31-37

Dimkpa, C. Bindraban, P. Joan, E. McLean, L. Singh, G.U. and Hellums, D. (2019) Methods for Rapid Testing of Plant and Soil Nutrients, DOI:10.1007/978-3-319-58679-3_1In book: *Sustainable Agriculture Reviews (pp.1-43)* https://www.researchgate.net /publication/ 318423496

Dimkpa, C.O. Hansen, T. Stewart, J. McLean, J.E. Britt, D.W. and Anderson, A. J. (2015) ZnO nanoparticles and root colonization by a beneficial pseudomonad influence metal responses in bean. *Nanotoxicology 9:271–278.* doi:10.3109/17435390.2014.900583

Ding, Y. Liu, Y. Liu, S. Li, Z. Tan, X. Huang, X. Zeng, G. Zhou, L. and Zheng, B. (2016) Biochar to improve soil fertility a review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development.* 36(2):1–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/S13593-016-0372-Z

Dotaniya, M.L and Meena, V.D. (2015) Rhizosphere effect on nutrient availability in soil and its uptake by plants: A Review. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India - Section B: Biological Sciences 85(1), pp:1–12. doi:10.1007/ s40011-013-0297

Fan Z, Zhou X, Peng Z, Wan S, Gao ZF, Deng S, Tong L, Han W, Chen X (2023) Co-pyrolysis technology for enhancing the functionality of sewage sludge biochar and immobilizing heavy metals. Chemosphere 317:137929

Ghazi, A. A. and Karnwal, A. (2017). Potential for biochar as an alternate carrier to peat moss for the preparation of rhizobia bio inoculum. *Microbiology Research Journal International* 18(4):1–9. https://doi. org/10.9734/MRJI/2017/30828

Godlewska, P. Schmidt, H.P. Ok, Y.S. and Oleszczuk, P. (2017). Biochar for composting improvement and contaminants reduction. A review *Bioresource Technology* Volume 246, December 2017, Pages 193-

Gong, X. Li, S. Chang, S.X. Wu, Q. Cai, L. and Sun, X. (2019). Alkyl polyglycoside and earthworm (*Eisenia fetida*) enhance biodegradation of green waste and its use for growing vegetables. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*. *Volume 167, 15* January 2019, Pages 459-466

Goyal A, Rani N, Hundal SS and Dhingra N (2023) Impact of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles on the Growth, Vermicomposting Efficiency and Nutritional Status of Vermicompost through Eisenia fetida. Environ Sci Arch 2(1): 75-85. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.7691979



*©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)*

Gul, S. and Whalen, J. K. (2016). Biochemical cycling of nitrogen and phosphorus in biochar-amended soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry.* 103, 1–15 (2016).

Guo, X.X. Liu, H. T. and Zhang, J. (2020). The role of biochar in organic waste composting and soil improvement: A review. *Waste Management*, Volume 102, 1 February 2020, Pages 884-899

He T, Zhang M, Jin B (2023) Co-pyrolysis of sewage sludge as additive with phytoremediation residue on the fate of heavy metals and the carbon sequestration potential of derived biochar. Chemosphere 314:137646

He, X. Zhang, Y. Shen, M. Zeng, G. Zhou, M. and Li, M. (2016). Effect of vermicomposting on concentration and speciation of heavy metals in sewage sludge with additive materials. *Bioresource. Technology.* 2016, *218*, 867–873.

He, Z. Lin, H. Hao, J. Kong, X. Tian, K. Bei, Z. and Tian, X. (2018). Impact of vermiculite on ammonia emissionsand organic matter decomposition of food waste during composting. *Bioresource Technology* 263: 548-554.

Huang P, Xiong Y, Ge Y, Wen Y, Zeng X, Zhang J, Wang P, Wang Z, Chen S (2023) Magnetic Fe(3)O(4) nanoparticles decorated phosphorus-doped biochar-attapulgite/bismuth film electrode for smartphone-operated wireless portable sensing of ultra-trace multiple heavy metal ions. Mikrochim Acta 190:94

Hussain, N. Abbasi, T. and Abbasi, S.A. (2016c). Transformation of the pernicious and toxic weed parthenium into an organic fertilizer by vermicomposting *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology* (IJEST). 73:731–45.

Jain, M.S. Jambhulkar, R. and Kalamdhad, A.S. (2018b). Biochar amendment for batch composting of nitrogen rich organic waste: effect on degradation kinetics, composting physics and nutritional properties. *Bioresource Technology Volume 253*, April 2018, Pages 204-213

Jambhulkar, H.P. Shaikh, M. and Kumar, S. (2018). Fly ash toxicity, emerging issues and possible implications for its exploitation in agriculture; Indian scenario: a review, *Chemosphere*, Volume 213, December 2018, pp. 333-344.

Janczak, D. Malińska, K. Czekała, W. Cáceres, R. Lewicki, A. and Dach, J. (2017). Biochar to reduce ammonia emissions in gaseous and liquid phase during composting of poultry manure with wheat straw. *Waste Management, Volume 66*, August 2017, Pages 36-45.

Jarboui, R. Dhouib, B. and Ammar, E. (2021). Effect of food waste compost (FWC) and its non-aerated fermented extract on seeds germination and plant growth. *Open Journal of Soil Science* 11(2):

Jasim AH, El-Balla MMA and Al-Nabhani HMA (2022) Effect of Chemical NPK, Organic and Foliar (high potash) Fertilizers on Potato Growth and Tuber Yield. Environ Sci Arch 1(2): 81-87. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.7133177

Jatav, H.S. Singh, S.K. Jatav, S.S. Rajput, V.D. Parihar, M. Mahawer, S.K. Singhal, R. and Sukirtee, K. (2020) Importance of Biochar in Agriculture and Its Consequence. *Application of Biochar for Environ Safety.* https://doi.org/10.5772/INTECHOPEN.93049



*©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)*

Jia, X. Wang, M. Yuan, W. Ju, X. and Yang, B. (2016). The influence of biochar addition on chicken manure composting and associated methane and carbon dioxide emissions. *BioResources*, 11 (2016), pp.5255-5264, 10.15376/ biores.11.2.5255-5264

Kaushal S and Singh Z (2022) Organic Farming: A Step towards Better Environment. Environ Sci Arch 1(2):53-59. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.7133146

Khedulkar AP, Pandit B, Dang VD, Doong RA (2023) Agricultural waste to real worth biochar as a sustainable material for supercapacitor. Sci Total Environ 869:161441

Kihara, J. Nziguheba, G. Zingore, S. Coulibaly, A. Esilaba, A., Kabambe, V. Njoroge, S. Palm, C. and Huising, J. (2016). Understanding variability in crop responses to fertilizer and amendments in sub-Saharan Africa. *Agriculture*, *Ecosystems* and *Environment* 229:1–12. doi:10.1016 /j.agee. 2016.05.012

Kolbe, K. Aira, P. Gómez-Brandón, C. Pérez-Losada, B. and Domínguez, J. (2019). Bacterial succession and functional diversity during vermicomposting of the white grape marc Vitis. *Scientific Report, 9* (1). http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43907-y

Kumar R (2022) Climate Change and Resource Conservation: Approaches to Sustainable Agriculture. Environ Sci Arch 1(STI-1):1-2. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.7126052

Layek, J. Narzari, R. Hazarika, S. Das, A. Rangappa, K. Devi, S. Balusamy, A. Saha, S. Mandal, S. Idapuganti, R.G. Babu, S. Choudhury, B.U. and Mishra, V.K. (2022). Prospects of biochar for sustainable agriculture and carbon sequestration: an overview for Eastern Himalayas. *Sustainability* 14(11):6684.

Lee, H. S. and Shin, H. S. (2021). Competitive adsorption of heavy metals onto modified biochars: comparison of biochar properties and modification methods. *Journal of Environmental Management, Volume 299, 1 December 2021, 113651*

Liao, H. Li, Y. and Yao, H. (2019) Biochar amendment stimulates utilization of plant-derived carbon by soil bacteria in an intercropping system. *Frontier Microbiology*. 10(June):1361. https:/ /doi.org/ 10.3389/

Liao, J. Liu, X. Hu, A. Song, H. Chen, X. and Zhang, Z. (2020). Effects of biochar-based controlled release nitrogen fertilizer on nitrogen-use efficiency of oilseed rape (Brassica napus .L). *Scientific Report.* 10(1): 11063. https :// doi.org/10.1038/S41598-020-67528-Y

Limaye, L.; Patil, R.; Ranadive, P.; Kamath, G. (2017). Application of Potent Actinomycete Strains for Bio-Degradation of Domestic Agro- Waste by Composting and Treatment of Pulp-Paper Mill Effluent. *Adv. Microbiol.* 2017, *7*, 94–108.

Lin PC, Yin H, Liu XF, Liu H, Yan CY, Qi X (2023) [Mn/Al-layered Double Oxide-loaded Biochar Reduced the Toxic Effects of Heavy Metals on Ryegrass in Soil]. Huan Jing Ke Xue 44:975-983

Ling L, Luo Y, Jiang B, Lv J, Meng C, Liao Y, Reid BJ, Ding F, Lu Z, Kuzyakov Y, Xu J (2022) Biochar induces mineralization of soil recalcitrant components by activation of biochar responsive bacteria groups. *Journal of Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 172:108778. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2022.108778



*©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)*

Liu C, Xia R, Tang M, Liu X, Bian R, Yang L, Zheng J, Cheng K, Zhang X, Drosos M, Li L, Shan S, Joseph S, Pan G (2022) More microbial manipulation and plant defense than soil fertility for biochar in food production: A field experiment of replanted ginseng with different biochars. Front Microbiol 13:1065313

Liu, W. Huo, R. Xu, J. Liang, S. Li, J. Zhao, T. and Wang, S. (2017a). Effects of biochar on nitrogen transformation and heavy metals in sludge composting, *Bioresource Technology. Volume 235*, July 2017, Pages 43-49

Liu, X. Yang, Y. Gao, B. Li, Y. C. and Wan, Y. (2017b). Environmentally Friendly Slow-Release Urea Fertilizers Based on Waste Frying Oil for Sustained Nutrient Release. *Chemistry, Material science Engineering.* 5, 6036–6045 (2017). Doi:10.1039/CBEE02656D

Liu, X. Liao, J. Song, H. Yang, Y. Guan, C. and Zhang, Z. (2019). A biochar-based route for environmentally friendly controlled release of nitrogen: urea-loaded biochar and bentonite composite. *Scientific Reports* 9(1), 2045–2322 (2019).

López-Cano, I. Roig, A. Cayuela, M.L. Alburquerque, J.A. and Sánchez-Monedero, M. A. (2016). Biochar improves N cycling during composting of olive mill wastes and sheep manure. *Waste Management*., 49 (2016), pp. 553-559, 10.1016/j.wasman.2015.12.031.

Malińska, K. Zabochnicka-Świątek, M. Cáceres, R. and Marfà, O. (2016). The effect of precomposted sewage sludge mixture amended with biochar on the growth and reproduction of *Eisenia fetida* during laboratory vermicomposting. *Ecological Engineering, Volume 90*, May 2016, Pages 35-41

Manikandan SK, Pallavi P, Shetty K, Bhattacharjee D, Giannakoudakis DA, Katsoyiannis IA, Nair V (2023) Effective Usage of Biochar and Microorganisms for the Removal of Heavy Metal Ions and Pesticides. Molecules 28(2): 719. doi.org/10.3390/molecules28020719

Mulakkal, M.C. Castillo C. A. Taylor, A.C. Blackman, B.R.K. Balint, D.S. Pimenta, S. Charalambides, M.N. (2021). Advancing mechanical recycling of multilayer plastics through finite element modelling and environmental policy. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 2021, 166, 105371, doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105371.

Nisha, C. Barnali, M. Shabana, P. Meena, D. and Shah, K. (2017). Analysis of Soil Samples for its Physico-Chemical Parameters from Kadi City *Newest International Referred Journals* https://www.researchgate. net/publication /318745067 Year:2017 4 Vol:3 Page : 36-40

Ogbonna, A.; Onwuliri, F.; Ogbonna, C.; Oteikwu, J. (2015), Optimization of Cellulase Production and Biodegradation of Artemisia annua L. wastes by Aspergillus niger and Trichoderma viride. *J. Acad. Ind. Res. (JAIR)* 2015, *3*, 598.

Ottani F, Parenti M, Santunione G, Moscatelli G, Kahn R, Pedrazzi S, Allesina G (2023) Effects of different gasification biochar grain size on greenhouse gases and ammonia emissions in municipal aerated composting processes. J Environ Manage 331:117257



*©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)*

Pandey, P.K. Cao, W. Biswas, S. and Vaddella, V. (2016). A new closed loop heating system for composting of green and food wastes. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 133: 1252- 1259.

Paul, S., Kauser, H., Jain, M.S., Khwairakpam, M., Kalamdhad, A.S., 2020. Biogenic stabilization and heavy metal immobilization during vermicomposting of vegetable waste with biochar amendment. J. Hazard. Mater. 390, 121366.

Pudełko, A.; Postawa, P.; Stachowiak, T.; Malińska, K.; Dróżdż, D. Waste derived biochar as an alternative filler in biocomposites—Mechanical, thermal and morphological properties of biochar added biocomposites. *J. Clean. Prod.*; 2021; *278*, 123850. [DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123850]

Qayyum, M.F. Liaquat, F. Rehman, R.A. Gul, M. Hye, M. Z. Rizwan, M. and Rehaman, M. Z. (2017). Effects of co-composting of farm manure and biochar on plant growth and carbon mineralization in an alkaline soil. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International*. 24 (2017), pp. 26060-26068

Rai, N. Ashiya, P. Rathore, D.S. (2014). Comparative study of the effect of chemical fertilizers and organic fertilizers on Eisenia foetida. *Int. J. Innov. Res. Sci. Eng. Technol.* 2014, *3*, 12991–12998.

Rathore, P., Sarmah, S.P., 2020. Economic, environmental and social optimization of solid waste management in the context of circular economy. Comput. Ind. Eng. 106510.

Rawat, J. Saxena, J. Sanwal, P. Rawat, J. Saxena, J. and Sanwal, P. (2019). Biochar: A Sustainable Approach for Improving Plant Growth and Soil Properties. Published in *Biochar, 8 Jan., 2019* -https:// doi. org /10.5772/INTECHOPEN.82151

Raza, S.T. Wu, J. Rene, E. R. Ali, Z. and Chen, Z. (2022). Reuse of agricultural wastes, manure, and biochar as an organic amendment: A review on its implications for vermicomposting technology. *Journal of Cleaner Production* ELSEVIER,Volume 360, 1 August 2022, 132200

Rehman, A., Nawaz, S., Alghamdi, H.A., Alrumman, S., Yan, W., Nawaz, M.Z., 2020. Effects of manure-based biochar on uptake of nutrients and water holding capacity of different types of soils. Case Stud. Chem. Environ. Eng. 2, 100036.

Rehman, H.A. and Razzaq R. (2017). Benefits of biochar on the agriculture and environment - a review. *Journal of Environment and Analytical Chemistry* 04(03):3–5. https://doi.org/10.4172/2380-2391.1000207

Saalah, S. Rajin, M. Yaser, A.Z. Azmi, N.A.S. and Mohammad, A.F. (2020). Food waste composting at Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Malaysia Sabah. In *Green Engineering for Campus Sustainability*, edited by Abu Zahrim Yaser, 173-191. Singapore: Springer Singapore.

Sánchez-García, M. Alburquerque, J.A. Sánchez-Monedero, M. A. Roig, A. and Cayuela, M. L. (2015). Biochar accelerates organic matter degradation and enhances N mineralisation during composting of poultry manure without a relevant impact on gas emissions. *Bioresources and Technology.*, 192 (2015), pp. 272-279, 10.1016/j.biortech.2015.05.003



*©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)*

Sanchez-Monedero, M.A. Cayuela, M.L. Roig, A. Jindo, K. Mondini, C. and Bolan, N. (2018). Role of biochar as an additive in organic waste composting. *Bioresources.Technology*, 247 (2018), pp. 1155-1164, doi:10.1016 /j.biortech. 2018.

Sengupta, S. Mukherjee, S. and Halder, S. (2020). Enrichment of vermicompost for improving soil quality and ensuring Zn and Fe bioavailability through rice grain. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* Vol. 9, Issue 1 (2020) pp (246–254).

Sharma, A. Saha, T.N. Arora, A. Shah, R. Nain, L. (2017). Efficient microorganism compost benefits plant growth and improves soil health in Calendula and Marigold. *Hortic. Plant J*. 2017, *3*, 67–72.

Sharma, K. and Garg, V. (2018). Vermicomposting: A Green Technology for Organic Waste Management. *Waste to Wealth pp: (199-235)*, DOI:10:1007/978-981-10-7431-8

Shi, S. Zou, D. Wang, Q. Xia, X. Zheng, T. Wu, C. and Gao, M. (2016). Responses of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria community composition to temporal changes in physicochemical parameters during food waste composting. *Royal Society of Chemistry Advances* 6(12): 9541-9548.

Shikha, F. S. Rahman, M. M. Sultana, N. Mottalib, M. A. and Yasmin, M. (2023). Effect of biochar and biofertilizer on groundnut production: a perspective for environmental sustainability in Bangladesh. *Carbon Research*, Volume 2, Article Number 10 (2023).

Shuhaimi, S.A. Kanakaraju, D. N. and Nori, H. (2019). Growth performance of roselle (*hibiscus sabdariffa*) under application of food waste compost and $Fe_3O_4$ nanoparticle treatment. *International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture* 8(1): 299-309.

Singh, J. and Kalamdhad, A.S. (2016). Effect of lime on speciation of heavy metals during composting of water hyacinth, *Frontiers of Environmental Science and Engineering* 2016, 10(1): 93–102 doi 10.1007/ s11 783-014-0704-7

Singh, J. Kaur, A. and Vig, A.P. (2014). Bioremediation of distillery sludge into soil-enriching material through vermicomposting with the help of *Eisenia fetida*. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*. 2014; Volume 174: pp 1403–1419.

Soobhany, N. Mohee, R. and Garg, V. K. (2017). Inactivation of bacterial pathogenic load in compost against vermicompost of organic solid waste aiming to achieve sanitation goals: A review March 2017 *Waste Management* 64, DOI:10.1016/j.wasman.2017.03.003

Srivastava, V. Goel, G. Thakur, V. K. Singh, R. P. Ferreira de Araujo, A. S. and Singh, P. (2020). Analysisand advanced characterization of municipal solid waste vermicompost maturity for a green environment *Journal of Environmental Management* Volume 255, 1 February 2020, 109914

Van, F. Y. Lee, C. T. Klemeš, J. J. Bong, C. P. C. and Ho, W.S. (2016). Economic assessment system towards sustainable composting quality in the developing countries. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy* 18 (8): 2479-2491.



*©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)*

Vandecasteele, B. Sinicco, T. D'Hose, T. Vanden Nest, T. and Mondini, C. (2016). Biochar amendment before or after composting affects compost quality and N losses, but not P plant uptake. *Journal of Environmental Management* 168 (2016), pp. 200-209, 10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.11.045

Wang, J. Xiong, Z. and Kuzyakov, Y. (2016) Biochar stability in soil: meta-analysis of decomposition and priming efects. *Global Change Biology Bioenergy* 8:512–523. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.

Wang K, Hou J, Zhang S, Hu W, Yi G, Chen W, Cheng L, Zhang Q (2023) Preparation of a new biochar-based microbial fertilizer: Nutrient release patterns and synergistic mechanisms to improve soil fertility. Sci Total Environ 860:160478

Wang, H. Zhang, R. Zhao, Y. Shi, H. and Liu, G. (2022). Effect of biochar on rhizosphere soil microbial diversity and metabolism in tobacco-growing soil. *Journal of Environmental Management* 168 (2022), (4):539–556. https://doi.org/10.3390/ECOLOGIES3040040

Wang, J.; Song, Y.; Ma, T.; Raza, W.; Li, J.; Howland, J.G.; Huang, Q.; Shen, Q. (2017). Impacts of inorganic and organic fertilization treatments on bacterial and fungal communities in a paddy soil. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 2017, *112*, 42–50.

Wang, S. and Zeng, Y. (2018). Ammonia emission mitigation in food waste composting: A review. Bioresour Technol, 2018 (Pt A):13-19. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2017.07.050

Wang, S. P. Wang, L. Sun, Z. Y. Wang S.O. Shen. C.H. Tang, Y.Q. and Kida, K. (2021). Biochar addition reduces nitrogen loss and accelerates composting process by affecting the core microbial community during distilled grain waste composting. *Bioresource Technology*. 337, 125492 (2021).

Waqas, M. Aburiazaiza, A.S. Miandad, R. Rehan, M. Barakat, M.A. and Nizami, A.S. (2017). Optimisation of food waste compost with the use of Biochar. Published in *Journal of Environmental*. Doi:10.1016 /j.jenvman. 2017.06.015

Wu, S. He, H. Inthapanya, X. Yang, C. Lu, L. Zeng, G. and Han, Z. (2017). Role of biochar on composting of organic wastes / remediation of contaminated soils—a review, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 2017 Jul; 24 (2017), pp. 16560-16577. doi: 10.1007/s11356-017-9168-1.

Xiao, Z. Liu, M. Jiang, L. Chen, X. Griffiths, B.S. Li, H. and Hu, F. (2016). Vermicompost increases defense against root-knot nematode in tomato plants. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 2016, *105*, 177–186.

Xie, Y.; Qiu, N.; Wang, G. (2017) Toward a better guard of coastal water safety—Microbial distribution in coastal water and their facile detection. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 2017, *118*, 5–16.

Yan, M., Zhang, S., Wibowo, H., Grisdanurak, N., Cai, Y., Zhou, X., and Kanchanatip, E. (2023). Biochar and pyrolytic gas properties from pyrolysis of simulated municipal solid waste (SMSW) under pyrolytic gas atmosphere. *Waste Disposal & Sustainable Energy, 2*, 37–46. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42768-019-00030-



*©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)*

Yang, H. Huan, B. Chen, Y. Gao, Y. Li, J. and Chen, H. (2016). Biomass-based pyrolytic polygeneration system for bamboo industry waste: evolution of the char structure and the pyrolysis mechanism.

Yang, S. Chen, X. Jiang, Z. Ding, J. Sun, X. and Xu, J. (2020). Effects of biochar application on soil organic carbon composition and enzyme activity in paddy soil under water-saving irrigation. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 17(1):333*. https://doi.org/10.3390/IJERPH17010333

Yeboah, S. Oteng-Darko, P. Adomako, J. and Malimanga, A. R. (2020). Biochar application for improved resource use and environmental quality.*https:/ /doi.org /10.5772 /INTECH OPEN*

Yuan, Y. Chen, H. Yuan, W. Williams, D. Walker, J.T. and Shi, W. (2017). Is biochar-manure co-compost a better solution for soil health improvement and N2O emissions mitigation?, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry.*, 113 (2017), pp. 14-25, 10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.05.025

Zhang, Q. Xiao, J. Xue, J. and Zhang, L. (2020). Quantifying the effects of biochar application on greenhouse gas emissions from agricultural soils: a global meta-analysis. *Sustainability 12(8):1–14. https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12083436*

Zhang, J. Chen, G. Sun, H. Zhou, S. and Zou, G. (2016). Straw biochar hastens organic matter degradation and produces nutrient-rich compost, *Bioresources Technology*, 200 (2016), pp. 876-883, 10.1016/ j.biortech. 2015.11.016

Zheng, H. Wang, X. Chen, L. Wang, Z. Xia, Y. Zhang, Y. Wang, H. Luo, X. and Xing, B. (2017). Enhanced growth of halophyte plants in biochar-amended coastal soil: roles of nutrient availability and rhizosphere microbial modulation. *Plant Cell Environment.* 41(3), 517–532 (2017). Doi:10.1111/pce.12944

Zhou, Z. Gao, T. Zhu, Q. Yan, T. Li, D. Xue, J. and Wu, Y. (2019). Increases in bacterial community network complexity induced by biochar-based fertilizer amendments to karst calcareous soil. *Geoderma* 337, 691–700 (2019).

Zoghi, Z. Hosseini, S.M. Kouchaksaraei, M.T. Kooch, Y. and Guidi, L. (2019). The effect of biochar amendment on the growth, morphology and physiology of Quercus castaneifolia seedlings under water-deficit stress. *European Journal of Forest Reserve 138(6):pp: 967–979.* doi:10.1007/S10342-019-01217

.



*©Copyright 2025 by the author(s)*