Journal of Global Accounting
Vol. 6 No. 2 September, 2019. ISSN: 1118-6828
www.unizikjga.com

Department of Accountancy
Nnamdi Azikiwe University,
Awka

DETERMINANTS OF FINANCIAL REPORTING QUALITY: EVIDENCE FROM LISTED MANUFACTURING FIRMS IN NIGERIA

Prof. Chizoba M. Ekwueme[1], Sunday Jones Aniefor[2]*

[1] Department of Accountancy; Nnamdi Azikiwe University; Awka; Anambra State; Nigeria.
[2] Department of Accountancy; Delta State Polytechnic, Ozoro, Nigeria.

*Correspondence to: Aniefor, Sunday Jones, Delta State Polytechnic, Department of Accountancy, Ozoro, Nigeria.
E-mail: anieforjones@yahoo.com Tel.: +2348038741973

ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the determinants of financial reporting quality in Quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria. In order to achieve the objectives of the study, a total of fifty-two (52) firms were selected based on a purposive sampling technique. The firms included in the sample had to meet two key criteria: first, they have electronic websites; and second, they had published annual reports in their websites for a period of seven successive years from 2012 to 2018. The study adopted the ex-post facto research design and used longitudinal data from secondary sources. The hypotheses were validated with the use of multiple regressions as a tool for data analysis. The results showed a positive significant relationship between firm age, firm size, Board size, Ownership structure and financial reporting quality, measured using residuals from the modified Jones model. It is recommended among others that firms may increase their size and leverage levels, which apart from enjoying the benefits of leverage; it provides an incentive to quality earnings reporting as seen in major research. A good corporate governance practices (code) should be maintained as it has been found not only to preserve the going concern of the firm but also a strong feature for enhancing the quality of financial reporting. The board size of between 5 and 11 is recommended as it has been found that this size is adequate to check earnings manipulation and other related financial statement fraud in the sectors. Emphasis should not be placed on the age of the firm, but on their capital tangibility and ability to checkmate management tendencies to manipulate financials reports or statement.

Keywords: Earnings Management, Financial Reporting Quality, Firm Characteristics, Corporate Governance.

Article Info: **Received** August 15, 2019; **Reviewed** August 21, 2019; **Accepted** August 22, 2019.

COPYRIGHT © 2019 JOGA



1. Introduction

The outright failures of governance systems, financial disclosures and presentations have been argued to be major contributors to the collapse of many of the well-celebrated organizations that have littered the world's corporate landscape. These failures, which translate into inability of organizations to meet the expectations of their various stakeholders, have often been traced to weaknesses in the internal controls infrastructures and operating environments, and a lack of commitment to high ethical standards (Ezelibe, Nwosu, & Orazulike, 2017). These Extensive failures in the financial disclosures have generated the demand by investors, regulators, and other stakeholders to improve the financial information quality and to reinforce the control of managers by putting up adequate governance structures (Klai & Omri, 2011). This will allow boards of directors to assess management's effectiveness and to take timely correctional actions, when essential, to tackle failures in the financial condition of firms (Fung, 2014).

According to Akeju and Babatunde (2017), many accounting scandals and financial crises which happened lately in numerous reputable firms, have undermined investors 'trust concerning the financial reports and have introduced several criticisms about financial reporting quality (FRQ). Hence, Firms are expected to prepare its financial Report with high quality, transparency and timely. Quality of financial reporting, especially over the last decade, has been of considerable concern to accounting researchers; however, one of the key problems is how to measure the so-called FRQ (Scaltrito, 2015). The quality of the information, however, is not always simple and instantly comprehended: diverse methods had been applied in the previous studies in measuring FRQ (Lang & Lundholm, 2000). A thorough overview of previous studies have demonstrated that numerous studies have been carried out on the determinants of FRQ in developed countries such as United States of America, United Kingdom, Canada and some developing countries like Nigeria. Most of these researches were conducted using the qualitative characteristics and features of financial reporting such as timeliness and FRQ index (Mahboub, 2017).

Earnings management is a fundamental aspect of financial reporting quality. How earnings are recognized and measured is essential to the quality of financial reporting. Corporations, through their managers, are duty bound to report business activities for the benefit of

COPYRIGHT © 2019 JOGA

shareholders, potential investors, regulators/policy makers, suppliers of finance and other stakeholders (Echobu, Okika & Mailafia, 2017). This is usually done through the production of annual reports covering their economic, financial, environmental and social activities. These reports are expected to be of high quality information, portraying a true and fair view of transactions. However, the practice of earnings management flaws this process of producing quality financial reports and questions the credibility of the quality of reported earnings (Shehu & Abubakar, 2012).

Some of the determinants affecting FRQ which relate to specific characteristics of the company include leverage, firm size, profitability, size of audit firm, and the status of listing. The other classification of determinants that have impact on FRQ incorporates the features of corporate governance such as board composition, board size, and ownership structure (Fathi, 2013; Chakroun & Hussainey, 2014). In spite of its popularity in the foreign and developed countries, FRQ research is still in its infancy in developing countries like Nigeria (Monday & Nancy, 2016). The dearth of research in this area is even more evident in the manufacturing sector of Nigeria where little or no research works can be found.

Therefore, the main objective of this study is to investigate the determinants of financial reporting quality of quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria. The specific objectives are to determine the relationship and the effect that firm age, firm size, board size and ownership structure have on financial reporting quality of quoted manufacturing firms. These determinants precisely pertain to firms' specific characteristics, structural characteristics and corporate governance related characteristics. Based on these, the study formulates the following hypotheses in the null form as follows:

H_1: There is no significant relationship between firm age and financial reporting quality of quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria.
H_2: There is no significant relationship between firm size and financial reporting quality of quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria.
H_3: There is no significant relationship between board size and financial reporting quality of quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria.
H_4: There is no significant relationship between ownership structure and financial reporting quality of quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

COPYRIGHT © 2019 JOGA

2. Review of Related Literature

2.1 Conceptual Framework

2.1.1 Financial Reporting Quality

According to AICPA (1970) the purpose of financial statements is "the provision of quantitative financial information about a business enterprise useful to the statement users". Financial reporting is broad and aims at providing financial and other information, together with information from other sources facilitates the efficient functioning of capital markets and assists the efficient allocation of the scarce resources in the economy (FASB, 1978). The concept includes financial information and non-financial information disclosures useful for decision making. As the subject of financial reporting quality is broad, several definitions of the term have been expressed. Verdi (2006) defines financial reporting quality as "the precision with which financial reports convey information about the firm's operations, in particular its cash flows, in order to inform equity investors". Jonas and Blanchet (2000), state that "…quality financial reporting is full and transparent financial information that is not designed to obfuscate or mislead users".

According to the IASB and FASB in their conceptual framework high quality is achieved by adherence to the objective and the qualitative characteristics of financial reporting information (IASB, 2008). Thus, financial reports should meet certain qualitative criteria in order to avoid poor quality and accomplish their purpose. Qualitative characteristics are "the attributes that make the financial information useful and are distinguished as fundamental or enhancing depending on the way they affect the usefulness of the information" (IASB, 2008). IASB (2006, 2008), states that "the objective of financial reporting is to provide financial information about the reporting entity that is useful to present and potential equity investors, lenders and other creditors in making decisions in their capacity as capital providers".

2.1.2 Firm Age and Financial Reporting Quality

The age of a firm is considered as one of the essential element of specific firm characteristics which is also a cardinal determinant of financial reporting quality. The internal control system of a firm gets stronger with age, and a strong and well-structured internal control system guarantees quality financial reporting process (Huang, Ena, & Lee, 2012). As firms advance in age, they also improve in their governance mechanisms, and as a result, become more closely monitored by government regulatory agencies. This is expected to produce a

COPYRIGHT © 2019 JOGA

corresponding improved financial reporting practice (Chalaki, Didar, & Riahnezhad, 2012). Researchers use different measures of age to compute the age of firm. While some use the date of incorporation to the year of reporting (Olowokure, Tanko, & Nyor, 2016); others, use no of listing years, which is the number of years the firm has been on the stock exchange (Haniffa & Cook, 2002; Ojeka, Mukoro, & Kanu, 2015).

Several studies have investigated the nexus of firm age and financial reporting quality. Echobu, Okika, and Mailafia (2017), conducted a research on the determinant of financial reporting quality with evidence from Agricultural and Natural Resources firms in Nigeria, The result shows that firm age is positive but not significantly related to financial reporting quality. This implies that the higher the listing years of Agriculture and Natural Resources firms in the NSE, the higher the financial reporting quality. However, the result shows that listing age does not improve their financial reporting quality. Chalaki, Didar, and Riahnezhad (2012) used age as a control variable and found that age is not statistically significant with financial reporting quality. Studies by Huang, Ena, and Lee (2012), Hossain (2008) reported insignificant relationship. The result of the study of non-financials firms in Nigeria by Kibiya, Ahmad, and Amran (2016) used firm age as a control variable, and found a significant association between age and financial reporting quality.

2.1.3 Firm Size and Financial Reporting Quality

Many researchers have studied the relation between firm size and FRQ and the results were mixed. Haji and Ghazali (2013), Agyei-Mensah (2013), Ebrahimabadi and Asadi (2016) and Monday and Nancy (2016) found a significant positive relation between firm size and FRQ. This result demonstrated that big companies have more propensities to disclose more high quality information because they are more under scrutiny. Conversely, Abdul Majid and Ismail (2008) as well as Takhtaei and Mousavi (2012) found a negative relation between firm size and FRQ. This finding indicated that small-sized companies have revealed their readiness to disclose more might point that they incline to put themselves for competitive advantages and public visibility (Abdul Majid & Ismail, 2008). However, Al-Asiry (2017) found an insignificant relation between size and FRQ.

COPYRIGHT © 2019 JOGA

2.1.4 Board Size and Financial Reporting Quality

Empirical Studies like Asegdew (2016), Egbunike, Ezelibe, and Aroh (2015), Uwuigbe, Erin, Uwuigbe, Igbinoba, and Jafaru (2017), and Akeju and Babatunde (2017) found a positive relation between board size and FRQ. Obigbemi, Omolehinwa, Mukoro, Ben-Caleb and Olusanmi (2016), Shehu (2013) and Swastika (2013) also reported positive relationship in their studies. These results implied that better disclosure quality of the annual reports could be achieved by having greater board size (Htay, Said, & Salman, 2013). Larger board size could provide more competence and knowledge to the firm and may have the capability to monitor excellently, which could consequently lead to higher quality of financial reporting (Haji & Ghazali, 2013).

Conversely, Yoshikawa and Phan (2003), Byard, Li, and Weintrop (2006) and Ostadhashemi, Shafati, and Aliei (2017) found a negative relation between board size and FRQ. This finding demonstrated that the lesser the board size, the better communication and coordination is which in turn will result in better disclosure quality of accounting information (Yoshikawa & Phan, 2003). However, Nugroho and Eko (2011); Navarro and Urquiza (2015) demonstrated that FRQ is not significantly associated with board size. This result could be justified by the fact that board size may not convey board quality if it does not work proficiently.

2.1.5 Ownership Structure and Financial Reporting Quality

Only few researches considered the association between ownership structure and FRQ. Fan and Wong (2002), Ben-Ali (2008) and Htay, Said, and Salman (2013) found a negative relation between ownership concentration and FRQ. This reveals that FRQ is weak in companies with both high ownership and control concentration (Ben-Ali, 2014). Haji and Ghazali (2013) and Fathi (2013) found an insignificant relation between ownership concentration and FRQ. The results show that the ownership structure does not affect the quality of financial reporting.

COPYRIGHT © 2019 JOGA

2.2 Theoretical Framework

This paper adopts the agency theory as the theoretical underpinning for this research work. Agency theory is a neoclassical economic theory (Ping & Wing 2011); and, has been widely applied in corporate governance research. The agency theory defines the principal-agent relationship. The principal here are shareholders while agents refer to the managers. These parties have divergent interests, thus giving rise to agency costs (Shehata, 2014). The theory is based on the idea of separation of ownership (principal) and management (agent). It states that "in the presence of information asymmetry the agent is likely to pursue interest that may hurt the principal" (Sanda, Mikailu, & Garba 2005). It is earmarked on the assumptions that: parties who enter into a contract will act to maximize their own self-interest and that all actors have the freedom to enter into a contract or to contract elsewhere. Furthermore, it is concerned with ensuring that agents act in the best interest of the principals. Disclosures by way of financial reporting and regulation help to mitigate the agency problem as it requires that management of corporations report both mandatory and voluntary information for the benefit of shareholders and other interest parties.

3. Design and Methodology

The study utilizes the ex-post facto research design. The ex-post factor design helps to investigate possible cause and effect relationships among variables. The population of the study comprises manufacturing companies listed on the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE) as at 1st January 2019. The companies are classified under 11 sectors, such as: Agriculture; Conglomerates; Construction/Real Estate; Consumer Goods; Financial Services; Healthcare; Information & Communications Technology(ICT); Industrial Goods; Natural Resources; Oil & Gas; and, Services (NSE, 2019). The sample size is fifty-two (52) firms; selected based on a purposive sampling technique. The firms included in the sample had to meet two key criteria: first, they have electronic websites; and second, they had published annual reports in their websites for a period of seven successive years from 2012 to 2018. The study used longitudinal balanced panel data from secondary sources because it is a quantitative study with positivism paradigm. Longitudinal panel data is used to account for individual diversity of the sample companies. The data were extracted from the audited financial reports of the selected firms within the period of the study.

COPYRIGHT © 2019 JOGA

3.1 Methods of Data Analysis

The study made use of multiple regression technique in testing the formulated hypotheses. Multiple regression is a viable technique to examine the effect of several independent variables on a dependent variable.

3.2 Model Specification

The modified Jones Model by Dechow, Sloan, and Sweeny (1995) which represent the discretionary portion of accruals is used to derive values for financial reporting quality, which is the dependent variable of the study. The modified Jones model by Dechow, Sloan, and Sweeny (1995) adjusted to separate the discretionary accruals (DA) portion from the non-discretionary portion of total accruals is given as:

$$DA = TA/A_{i,t-1} - \beta\,(1/A_{i,t-1}) + \beta\,((\Delta \text{ in Rev} - \Delta \text{ in Rec})/A_{i,t-1}) + \beta\,(PPE/A_{i,t-1})$$

The values so derived for financial reporting quality are substituted as values for FRQ in the model of the study which is given as:

$$FRQ = \beta_o + \beta_1 FAGE + \beta_2 FSZE + \beta_3 BOSIZE + \beta_4 OWNST + \epsilon_{it}$$

Where:
FRQ is financial reporting quality;
FAGE is firm age;
FSZE is Firm size;
BOSIZE is board size;
OWNST is Ownership Structure;
β_0 is the Intercept;
$\beta_{1\text{-}5}$ are the coefficients of the independent variables;
ϵ is the error term; and,
i is firm and *t* is year.

COPYRIGHT © 2019 JOGA

4. Data Analysis and Results

4.1 Test of Hypotheses

Table 1: Ordinary Least Squares output

Dependent Variable: FRQ
Method: Panel Least Squares

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
FAGE	-1.013317	0.510560	-2.366711	0.0045
FSIZE	0.045621	0.043974	3.248548	0.0005
BOSIZE	0.031634	0.071160	3.405420	0.0006
OWNSTU	0.122257	0.185440	0.559380	0.4108

Model Summary

R-squared	0.643650	Mean dependent var	7.100577
Adjusted R-squared	0.517875	S.D. dependent var	7.456093
Log likelihood	-371.7250	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.887596
F-statistic	9.549874	Durbin-Watson stat	1.010528
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000152		

Source: E-Views 9

The table above shows the multiple regression output conducted to test the influence of the predictor variables. The Adjusted R squared is coefficient of determination which tells us the variation in the dependent variable due to changes in the independent variable. From the findings in the table above, the value of adjusted R squared was 0.51.7, an indication that there was variation of 51.7% on the Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ) due to changes in the explanatory variables.

4.1.1 Test of Hypothesis One

H_1: There is a significant relationship between firm age and financial reporting quality of quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

Based on the result, the p value of FAGE is 0.0045; this is less than the critical value of 5% significance level. Thus, leading to the conclusion that there is a significant negative relationship between firm age and FRQ, hence, H_1 is accepted. The result is in arrangement with previous study like Olowokure, Tanko, and Nyor (2016) and Echobu, Okika, and Mailafia (2017).

4.1.2 Test of Hypothesis Two

H_1: There is a significant relationship between firm size and financial reporting quality of quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

Based on the result, the p value of FSIZE is 0.0005; this is less than the critical value of 5% significance level. Thus, leading to the conclusion that there is a significant positive

COPYRIGHT © 2019 JOGA

relationship between firm size and FRQ, hence, H_1 is accepted. This study is in consonant with studies by Haji and Ghazali (2013), Agyei-Mensah (2013), Ebrahimabadi and Asadi (2016) and Monday and Nancy (2016) found a significant positive relation between firm size and FRQ. This result demonstrated that big companies have more propensities to disclose more high quality information because they are more under scrutiny.

4.1.3 Test of Hypothesis Three

H_1: There is a significant relationship between board size and financial reporting quality of quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

Based on the result, the p value of BOSIZE is 0.0006; this is less than the critical value of 5% significance level. Thus, leading to the conclusion that there is a significant positive relationship between board size and FRQ, hence, H_1 is accepted. This research is in arrangement with previous works like Asegdew (2016), Egbunike, Ezelibe, and Aroh (2015), Uwuigbe, Erin, Uwuigbe, Igbinoba, and Jafaru (2017) and Akeju and Babatunde (2017) who found a positive relation between board size and FRQ. In addition to that, Obigbemi, Omolehinwa, Mukoro, Ben-Caleb and Olusanmi (2016), Shehu (2013) and Swastika (2013) also reported positive relationship in their studies.

4.1.4 Test of Hypothesis Four

H_1: There is a significant relationship between ownership structure and financial reporting quality of quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

Based on the result, the p value of OWNSTU is 0.4108; this is greater than the critical value of 5% significance level. Thus, leading to the conclusion that there is a non-significant positive relationship between ownership structure and FRQ, hence, H_1 is rejected. This work is in arrangement with studies like Fan and Wong (2002), Ben -Ali (2008) and Htay, Said, and Salman (2013) who found a negative relation between ownership concentration and FRQ. This reveals that FRQ is weak in companies with both high ownership and control concentration. Furthermore, Haji and Ghazali (2013) and Fathi (2013) found an insignificant relation between ownership concentration and FRQ.

COPYRIGHT © 2019 JOGA

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

This study assessed the major determinants of financial reporting quality of quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria. In addition, the effects of specific firm variables, that is, firm age, firm size, board size and ownership structure were assessed. The results of the multiple regression analysis revealed that firm characteristics, specifically, firm size and board size have a statistically significant positive effect on financial reporting quality. The variable of firm age was negative and statistically significant; while, ownership structure was positive but not statistically significant.

The study therefore recommends that firms may increase their size and leverage levels, which, apart from enjoying the benefits of leverage, provides an incentive to quality earnings reporting as seen in major researches. A good corporate governance practice (code) should be maintained as it has been found, not only to preserve the going concern of the firm but also a strong feature for enhancing the quality of financial reporting. The board size of between 5 and 11 is recommended as it has been found that this size is adequate to check earnings manipulation and other related financial statement fraud in the sectors. Emphasis should not be placed on the age of the firm, but on their capital tangibility and ability to checkmate management tendencies to manipulate financials reports or statement. Furthermore, adequate monitoring should not be placed on the perception of ownership structure as it has been found that it does not significantly affect the quality of financial reporting. The NSE should review its monitoring rules to ensure definite rules for the prevention of window dressing behavior of management in financial reporting

COPYRIGHT © 2019 JOGA



REFERENCES

Abdul Majid, N. H., & Ismail, A. G. (2008). Determinants of Disclosure Quality in Islamic Banks. Working Paper, Islamic Economics and Finance No. 0803 - University Kebangsaan Malaysia, 1-14.

Agyei-Mensah, B. K. (2012). Association between firm-specific characteristics and levels of disclosure of financial information of rural banks in the Ashanti region of Ghana. *Journal of Applied Finance & Banking, 2*(1), 69-92.

AICPA (1970). APB Statement No. 4, basic concepts and accounting principles underlying financial statements of business enterprises.

Akeju, J. B., & Babatunde, A. A. (2017). Corporate governance and financial reporting quality in Nigeria. *International Journal of Information Research and Review*, *4*(2), 3749-3753.

Al-Asiry, M. (2017). Determinants of Quality of Corporate Voluntary Disclosure in Emerging Countries: A Cross National Study (Doctoral Thesis). University Of Southampton.

Asegdew, K. (2016). Determinants of financial reporting quality: Evidence from large manufacturing share companies of Addis Ababa (Unpublished Master Thesis). Department of Accounting and Finance, College of Business and Economics, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Ben-Ali, C. (2008). Disclosure quality and corporate governance: Evidence from the French Stock Market. Conference Paper, British Accounting Association Annual Conference, 1-3 April, Blackpool, UK.

Byard, D., Li, Y., & Weintrop, J. (2006). Corporate governance and the quality of financial analysts' information. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, *25*(5), 609-625.

Chakroun, R., & Hussainey, K. (2014). Disclosure quality in Tunisian annual reports. *Corporate Ownership and Control*, *11*(4), 58-80.

Chalaki, P., Dider, H., & Riahinezhad M. (2012). Corporate governance attributes and financial reporting quality: Empirical evidence from Iran. *International Journal of Business and Social Science, 3*(15), 223-229.

Dechow, P. M., Sloan, R. G., & Sweeney, A. P. (1995). Detecting earnings management. *The Accounting Review*, *70*(2), 193-225.

Ebrahimabadi, Z., & Asadi, A. (2016).The study of relationship between corporate characteristics and voluntary disclosure in Tehran Stock Exchange. *International Business Management*, *10*(7), 1170-1176.

Echobu, J., Okika, N. P., & Mailafia, L. (2017). Determinants of financial reporting quality: Evidence from listed agricultural and natural resources firms in Nigeria. *International Journal of Accounting Research*, *3*(3), 20-31.

Egbunike, A. P., Ezelibe, C. P. & Aroh, N. N. (2015). The influence of corporate governance on earnings management practices in Nigeria: A study of some selected quoted companies. *American Journal of Economics, Finance and Management, 1*(5).

COPYRIGHT © 2019 JOGA

Ezelibe, C. P., Nwosu, O., & Orazulike, S. (2017). Empirical investigation of corporate governance and financial reporting quality of quoted companies in Nigeria. *International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Research, 1*(5), 117-137.

FASB, (1978). Statement of concepts no 1, con 1, objectives of financial reporting by business enterprises.

Fathi, J. (2013). The determinants of the quality of financial information disclosed by French listed companies. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences, 4*(2), 319-331.

Fung, B. (2014). The demand and need for transparency and disclosure in corporate governance. *Universal Journal of Management, 2*(2), 72-80.

Haji, A. A., & Ghazali, N. A. M. (2013). The quality and determinants of voluntary disclosures in annual reports of Shari'ah compliant companies in Malaysia. *Humanomics, 29*(1), 24-42.

Haniffa, M., & Cooke, E. (2002). Culture, corporate governance and disclosure in Malaysian corporations. *Journal of Accounting, Finance and Business Studies, 38*(3), 317-349.

Htay, S. N. N., Said, R. M., & Salman, S. A. (2013). Impact of corporate governance on disclosure quality: Empirical evidence from listed banks in Malaysia. *International Journal of Economics and Management, 7*(2), 242-279.

Huang, H., Ena, R., & Lee, C. (2012). CEO age and financial reporting quality. *Accounting Horizons, 26*(4), 725-740.

IASB, (2006). Preliminary views on an improved conceptual framework for financial reporting.

IASB, (2008). Exposure drafts on an improved conceptual framework for financial reporting: The objective of financial reporting and qualitative characteristics of decision-useful Financial information. London.

Jonas, G. & Blanchet, J. (2000).Assessing quality of financial reporting. *Accounting Horizons.14*(3).

Kibiya, M. U., Ahmad A. C., & Amran N. A. (2016). Audit committee characteristics and financial reporting quality: Nigerian non-financial listed firms. *The European Proceedings of Social & Behavioral Sciences*, 753-759.

Klai, N., & Omri, A. (2011). Corporate governance and financial reporting quality: The case of Tunisian firms. *International Business Research, 4*(1), 158-166.

Mahboub, R. (2017). Main Determinant of Financial Reporting in Lebanese banking sector. *European research Studies Journal, 20*(4B), 706-726.

Monday, I. I., & Nancy, A. (2016). Determinants of voluntary disclosure quality in emerging economies: Evidence from firms listed in Nigeria Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology, 4*(6), 37-50.

Navarro, M. C. A., & Urquiza, F. B. (2015). Board of directors' characteristics and forward looking information disclosure strategies. Paper Presented at the EEA Annual Congress, Glasgow.

Nugroho, B. Y., & Eko, U. (2011). Board characteristics and earnings management. *Journal of Administrative Science & Organization, 18*(1), 1-10.

Obigbemi, I. F., Omolehinwa, E. O., Mukoro, D. O., Ben-Caleb E., & Olusanmi, O. A. (2016). Earnings

COPYRIGHT © 2019 JOGA

management and board Structure: Evidence from Nigeria. *SAGE Open*, 1–15.

Ojeka, S. A., Mukoro, D. O., & Kanu, C. (2015). Does financial reporting disclosures enhance firm financial performance in the Nigerian manufacturing companies? *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, *6*(6), 332-337.

Olowokure, O. A., Tanko, M., & Nyor, T. (2016). Firm structural characteristics and financial reporting quality of listed deposit money banks in Nigeria. *International Business Research*, *9*(1), 106-115.

Ostadhashemi, A., Shafati, M., & Aliei, M. (2017). The effect of the corporate governance on disclosure quality in Tehran Stock Exchange. *Journal of Administrative Management, Education and Training*, *13*(2), 216-226.

Ping, Z., & Wing, C. W. (2011). Corporate governance: A summary review of different theory approaches. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, (68).

Sanda, A., Makailu, A., & Garba, T. (2005). Corporate governance mechanisms and firm financial performance in Nigeria. Africa Economic Research Consortium, Research Paper 149, Kenya Regal Press.

Scaltrito, D. (2015). Assessing disclosure quality: A methodological issue. *Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing*, *11*(9), 466-475.

Shehata, N. F. (2014). Theories and determinants of voluntary disclosure. *Accounting and Finance Research*, *3*(1), 18-23

Shehu, U. H., & Abubakar A. (2012). Ownership structure and opportunistic accounting: A case of listed food and beverages firms in Nigeria. *Journal of Physical and Social Sciences*, *2*(7), 236-253

Shehu, U. H. (2013). Financial reporting quality, does monitoring characteristics matter? An empirical analysis of Nigerian manufacturing sector. *The Business & Management Review*, *3*(2), 147-158.

Swastika, D. L. (2013). Corporate governance, firm size, and earning management: Evidence in Indonesia Stock Exchange. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, *10*(4), 77-82.

Takhtaei, N., & Mousavi, Z. (2012). Disclosure quality and firm's characteristics: Evidence from Iran. *Asian Journal of Finance and Accounting*, *4*(2), 290-300.

Uwuigbe, U., Erin, O. A., Uwuigbe, O. R., Igbinoba, E. E., & Jafaru, J. (2017). Ownership structure and financial disclosure quality: Evidence from listed firms in Nigeria. *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce*, *22*(S8), 1-13.

Verdi, R. S. (2006). Financial reporting quality and investment efficiency. The Wharton School University of Pennsylvania.

Yoshikawa, T., & Phan, P. (2003). The performance implications of ownership-Driven governance reform. *European Management Journal*, *21*(6), 68-76.

COPYRIGHT © 2019 JOGA