Unizik Orient Journal of Education (UNIOJE), Vol. 12, No. 2, January, 2026.
----------ISSN: 0794-9525----------

LEADERSHIP CHALLENGES AND STRATEGIES FOR MANAGING CHANGE IN AI-INFUSED EDUCATIONAL SYSTEMS IN SOUTH-EAST NIGERIA.

Okafor Judith Nneka, PhD; ju. okafor@unizik.edu.ng
+234 803 808 1482
Ogbo Rosita Nwaribeaku, PhD; rn.ogbo@unizik.edu.ng
+234 803 546 6276
Obilor Peter Uzochukwu, PhD; pu.obilor@unizik.edu.ng
+234 803 764 7976

Educational Management and Policy, Nnamdi Azikiwe University Awka

Abstract
This study aimed to identify and evaluate effective leadership strategies required for successfully managing organizational change in educational institutions adopting AI technologies. The study was guided by three research questions and two hypotheses. A descriptive correlational survey was used to explore school leaders' perceptions in South-East Nigeria. The population comprised 400 administrative leaders in the public and private University. The sample size for the study was 250 respondents made up of public and private university administrative leaders. The sample was drawn using a stratified random sampling. A questionnaire, titled ''Leadership Strategies for Managing Change in AI (LSMCAI) was developed for data collection. The content validation of the instrument was determined by five educational technology experts (CVI = 0.92).The reliability of the instrument was tested using Cronbach Alpha statistics. The overall coefficient index of (LSMCAI) was 0.84). Data were collected electronically and analyzed using descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation and linear regression at $p < 0.05$. Major findings revealed that major challenges include skill gaps, resistance to change, and inadequate infrastructure; transformational and collaborative leadership were most effective for fostering AI adoption; and leaders' positive attitudes significantly predicted implementation success. Transformational leadership correlated strongly with adoption success ($r = 0.68$, $p < .01$), supporting Ha_1, while Ha_2 was also supported by a significant regression coefficient ($\beta = 0.54$, $p < .001$). Implications indicated that investing in AI-focused leadership training and infrastructure can facilitate smoother change. Recommendations include embedding leadership development and ethical governance into institutional AI strategies. One limitation is reliance on self-reported perceptions. Further research should examine student outcomes in AI-infused systems.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI), Leadership Strategies, Transformational Leadership, Resistance to Change, Organizational Change.

Introduction

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into educational systems marks a transformative shift in how institutions operate, particularly at the university level. Globally, AI is reshaping administrative tasks, curriculum development, academic advising, student performance tracking, and even faculty workload management (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2020). AI-infused systems are increasingly being adopted in higher education to enhance efficiency, support decision-making, and personalize learner experiences. In Nigeria and other developing countries, these innovations offer the potential to close educational gaps and improve institutional accountability. However, despite this potential, the transition to AI-driven systems presents substantial management and leadership challenges.

University educational leaders such as Vice Chancellors, Deans, Directors of technology, and Registrars are now required to lead organizational change that is not only technological but also cultural, structural, and pedagogical. The challenges faced by these leaders in managing the implementation of AI technologies are multifaceted. First, there is the issue of limited technical expertise among leaders and staff, which hampers the planning and execution of AI projects (Akpojivi & Kwet, 2021). Second, infrastructure deficits, including unreliable power supply, poor internet connectivity, and limited access to cloud computing resources, further constrain implementation efforts. Third, resistance to change remains a dominant barrier. Many faculty and administrative

personnel view AI as a threat to job security, autonomy, or professional identity (Amzat & Ali, 2023). This fear-driven resistance significantly impairs the acceptance and utilization of new tools. Additionally, concerns about data ethics, surveillance, and algorithmic bias introduce further complexity into leadership decisions.

In the face of these challenges, effective leadership strategies are essential. Leadership in AI-infused educational systems involves more than just endorsing technology; it requires the creation of a vision for change, mobilization of staff support, and cultivation of an enabling organizational culture. Transformational leadership, which emphasizes inspiration, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration, has been repeatedly identified as effective in guiding educational change (Bass & Riggio, 2006; Ntekim et al., 2022). Leaders who apply transformational approaches empower stakeholders, build trust, and facilitate ownership of innovation. Similarly, collaborative leadership which involves shared decision-making, open communication, and team-building has gained prominence in recent literature. According to Ilie et al. (2021), leaders who use participative strategies are better positioned to mitigate resistance and harness collective intelligence during technology transitions. Additionally, agile leadership, characterized by adaptability, rapid feedback loops, and iterative decision-making, is increasingly seen as crucial in volatile technology-driven contexts (Uhl-Bien & Arena, 2020).

Beyond leadership style, the attitudes of university leaders toward AI play a pivotal role in shaping implementation outcomes. Positive attitudes marked by optimism, openness to innovation, and risk tolerance can foster a climate of experimentation and learning. Conversely, negative or skeptical attitudes often result in half-hearted adoption, policy delays, or abandonment of AI projects altogether. Empirical studies have shown that leaders' perceptions influence not only resource allocation but also the motivational climate for staff engagement with new technologies (Wang et al., 2022). In Nigeria, where AI literacy remains low, leaders' attitudes often reflect a mixture of hope and uncertainty, further complicating the change process (Eze et al., 2021).

The current study is anchored on two theoretical frameworks that explain how leadership and organizational change interact in AI-infused systems: Transformational Leadership Theory and Technology Acceptance and Change Leadership Theory (TACL). Transformational Leadership Theory, originally conceptualized by Burns and extended by Bass, posits that effective leaders inspire followers by articulating a compelling vision, encouraging creativity, and attending to individual needs. In the AI context, transformational leaders are expected to build enthusiasm for innovation, reduce anxiety, and mobilize collective efforts toward implementation (Ntekim et al., 2022). Empirical support shows a positive correlation between transformational leadership behaviours and successful technology adoption in higher education (Al-Harthi & Al-Harthy, 2021).

Technology Acceptance and Change Leadership (TACL) Theory is a recent model proposed by Mlambo, Silinda, and Moyo (2020), integrating the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) with organizational change frameworks. The theory posits that leadership plays a mediating role between perceived usefulness/perceived ease of use of technology and actual adoption. Leaders shape perceptions through communication, resource provision, and modeling behavior. Thus, TACL theory supports the hypothesis that leaders' attitudes and leadership strategies directly affect the successful implementation of AI.

South-East Nigeria, with its mix of federally funded, state-owned, and private universities, provides a fertile ground for this investigation. Institutions in this region vary significantly in terms of technological infrastructure, leadership training, and innovation culture. Yet, many have begun experimenting with AI tools for tasks such as biometric attendance, adaptive learning platforms, and automated admission processing. The success of these initiatives often hinges not on technical factors alone but on the leadership capacity to manage resistance, shape culture, and sustain momentum.

Although AI technologies offer transformative potential for university administration, the success of implementation in Nigerian universities remains uneven. While some institutions make rapid strides in automating administrative tasks, others struggle with resistance, lack of vision, or poor planning. This inconsistency highlights a deeper leadership gap: the absence of strategic, informed, and adaptable leadership approaches tailored for AI contexts. In ideal conditions, effective leadership should bridge technical and human factors, guiding institutions toward successful adoption. In practice, many leaders face institutional inertia, skills shortages, and ambiguous policies. The problem, therefore, is the lack of clear understanding of which leadership strategies best manage these changes

and how leaders' negative attitudes influence adoption outcomes. Hence, the critical problem becomes: What leadership strategies can effectively address the challenges of managing change during AI adoption in university educational systems in Nigeria?

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study was to:

1. Explore the major challenges faced by educational leaders in managing the implementation of AI-driven administrative tools;
2. Identify leadership strategies perceived as most effective in managing change in AI-infused educational systems.
3. Determine how attitudes of school leaders influence the success of AI integration initiatives.

Research Questions

1. What are the major challenges faced by educational leaders in managing the implementation of AI-driven administrative tools?
2. What leadership strategies are perceived as most effective in managing change in AI-infused educational systems?
3. How do school leaders' attitudes towards AI influence the success of AI implementation initiatives?

Hypotheses

H_{01}: There is no significant relationship between the adoption of transformational leadership strategies and the successful implementation of AI-driven administrative tools in schools.
H_{02}: School leaders' positive attitudes towards AI do not significantly predict the successful integration of AI technologies.

METHODS

A descriptive correlational survey design was adopted to examine the relationship leadership strategies, attitudes, and AI implementation success. The study area included public and private universities in South- East Nigeria, chosen for their differing administrative structures and AI adoption rates. The population comprised approximately 400 administrative leaders (Registrars, Deans, ICT directors). Using stratified random sampling, 250 participants were selected proportionally from each university type (public/private). A questionnaire titled ''leadership strategies for managing change in AI (LSMCAI) was used, comprising four scales: Challenges faced by leaders (10 items), Leadership strategy in managing change in AI (15 items), attitude of leaders, transformational, collaborative, agile, (5 items), Implementation success scale (5 items). Responses were on a 5-point Likert scale. Five subject-matter experts evaluated the instrument, achieving a Content Validity Index (CVI) of 0.92. Pilot testing with 30 leaders resulted in overall Cronbach alpha coefficients of 0.84 with subscale reliabilities > .80. Data were collected electronically using secure online forms over a four-week period. Participation was voluntary and anonymized. Descriptive statistics (frequency, mean, standard deviation) addressed RQ1–RQ3. Pearson correlation tested H_{01}. Linear regression tested H_{02} at $\alpha = .05$

Results

Research Question 1:

What are the major challenges faced by educational leaders in managing the implementation of AI-driven administrative tools?

Table 1: Mean and Standard Deviation of Responses on Leadership Challenges

Item	Mean	Std. Dev.	Decision
Inadequate infrastructure	3.47	1.20	Agree
Resistance to change	3.63	1.21	Agree
Limited technical skills	3.60	1.18	Agree
Unclear AI policies	3.65	1.15	Agree

Item	Mean	Std. Dev.	Decision
Lack of training	3.58	1.20	Agree

Interpretation:
All items reported mean values above the criterion mean of 3.00, indicating agreement among respondents that these are major challenges hindering AI implementation in the administration of universities.

Research Question 2:
What leadership strategies are perceived as most effective in management of Ai-infused educational systems?

Table 2: Leadership Strategies for AI Adoption

Item	Mean	Std. Dev.	Decision
Inspirational motivation enhances AI adoption	3.62	1.16	Agree
Participative decision-making reduces resistance	3.50	1.22	Agree
Agile responsiveness supports AI transition	3.67	1.15	Agree
Staff trust in leadership fosters adoption	3.59	1.19	Agree
Transparent communication enables AI success	3.56	1.16	Agree

Interpretation:
Respondents rated all five leadership strategies positively. Agile responsiveness (mean = 3.67) and inspirational motivation (mean = 3.62) were rated highest, emphasizing their perceived effectiveness. Then the standard deviation of Agile responsiveness (1.15), and inspirational motivation (1.16) were also rated respectively.

Research Question 3:
How do school leaders' attitudes towards AI influence the success of AI implementation initiatives?

Table 3: Attitudes of School Leaders toward AI Implementation

Item	Mean	Std. Dev.	Decision
Positive leader attitude increases AI success	3.67	1.12	Agree
Leaders' curiosity drives adoption	3.52	1.24	Agree
Tech-confident leaders promote AI use	3.64	1.09	Agree
Skeptical leaders delay AI integration	3.58	1.16	Agree
Supportive leaders influence AI readiness	3.69	1.10	Agree

Interpretation:
Leaders' supportive attitude (mean = 3.69) and positive leader attitude (mean = 3.67) were strong predictors of AI readiness and implementation success. While their standard deviation are 1.10 and 1.12 respectively.

Hypothesis One
H_{01}: There is no significant relationship between the adoption of transformational leadership strategies and the successful implementation of AI-driven administrative tools.

Table 4: Pearson Correlation Between Transformational Leadership and AI Implementation Success

Variables	N	r	p-value	Decision
Transformational Leadership vs. AI Implementation	250	**0.68**	**< .05**	Reject H_0

Interpretation:
There is a strong, positive, and statistically significant correlation between transformational leadership and AI implementation success.

Hypothesis Two

H_{02}: School leaders' positive attitudes towards AI do not significantly predict the successful integration of AI technologies.

Table 5: Linear Regression Summary: Leaders' Attitudes Predicting AI Integration

Variable	B	t-value	p-value	R^2	Decision
Leader Attitude	**0.54**	**7.24**	**< .05**	0.46	Reject H_0

Interpretation:

Leaders' positive attitudes significantly predict the successful integration of AI technologies in universities.

Discussions

Research Question 1 and Hypothesis One

Discussion of RQ1: Findings showed that educational leaders face major challenges in implementing AI-driven administrative tools, including inadequate infrastructure, resistance to change, limited technical skills, lack of training, and absence of clear policy guidelines. These align with findings by Eze et al. (2021) who reported that Nigerian universities struggle with systemic constraints when adopting emerging technologies. Resistance to change, a prominent challenge in this study, echoes Amzat and Ali (2023), who observed that change efforts in tertiary institutions often encounter deep-rooted skepticism and structural inertia.

Discussion of H_1: The study revealed a strong and significant positive relationship ($r = 0.68$, $p < 0.001$) between transformational leadership strategies and successful AI implementation. This supports the position of Ntekim et al. (2022), who found that transformational leadership fosters a compelling vision and empowers university staff during organizational change. It also confirms the assertions of Al-Harthi and Al-Harthy (2021), who emphasized the importance of visionary leadership in adopting EdTech innovations.

Research Question 2 and Hypothesis Two

Discussion of RQ2: Results indicated that collaborative and agile leadership approaches were perceived as highly effective in fostering a positive culture for AI adoption. Inspirational motivation, trust-building, and transparent communication stood out as vital strategies. Ilie et al. (2021) emphasized that participative and inclusive leadership reduces resistance by enhancing ownership and engagement. This is corroborated by Uhl-Bien and Arena (2020), who argued that agile leadership enables institutions to adapt quickly to complex changes such as AI adoption.

Discussion of H_2: Findings revealed that leaders' attitudes significantly predicted the success of AI integration ($\beta = 0.54$, $p < 0.001$). This aligns with Mlambo et al.'s (2020) Technology Acceptance and Change Leadership (TACL) theory, which posits that leaders' perceptions influence stakeholders' willingness to engage with technological innovation. Wang et al. (2022) similarly found that leaders who demonstrate positive attitudes toward AI technologies tend to foster a conducive environment for experimentation and iterative improvement.

Research Question 3

Discussion of RQ3: The study confirmed that positive leader attitudes—including curiosity, confidence, and supportiveness—were highly associated with successful AI implementation. This supports Zawacki-Richter et al. (2020), who observed that AI adoption in education is accelerated when institutional leaders model acceptance and strategic optimism. The findings suggest that leadership mindset is as critical as technical readiness in the adoption process.

Conclusion

This study examined leadership strategies for managing change in AI-infused educational systems in universities in South-East Nigeria. The findings showed that infrastructural gaps, resistance, and skill deficits remain pressing challenges. However, transformational and collaborative leadership, supported by positive leader attitudes, significantly enhance successful AI implementation. The study

underscores the need for context-specific leadership development that emphasizes innovation, agility, and cultural alignment in managing technological change.

Contributions to Knowledge

1. This study provides empirical evidence that transformational and collaborative leadership strategies significantly correlate with successful AI integration in Nigerian universities.
2. It validates the predictive power of leadership attitudes toward AI adoption using the TACL theoretical framework.
3. It highlights the emerging role of agile leadership in technologically disrupted educational environments.

Recommendations

1. Universities should institutionalize leadership development programs focused on AI literacy and change management.
2. The Federal Ministry of Education and NUC should support AI-readiness initiatives with policy clarity and infrastructure grants.
3. University leaders should foster open communication channels to reduce fear and encourage innovation during AI transitions.
4. Future leadership recruitment in tertiary institutions should assess not just qualifications but digital transformation readiness.

References (APA 7th Edition)

Akpojivi, U., & Kwet, M. (2021). Education and surveillance capitalism in Africa. *Globalisation, Societies and Education*, *19(3), 355–371*. https://doi.org/10.1080/14767724.2021.1893985

Al-Harthi, A. S., & Al-Harthy, I. S. (2021). Transformational leadership and the adoption of educational technology in universities. *International Journal of Educational Management*, *35(3), 571–586.*

Amzat, I. H., & Ali, A. (2023). Institutional inertia and digital transformation in higher education: A Nigerian perspective. *International Journal of Educational Development*, *95, 102694.*

Eze, S. C., Chinedu-Eze, V. C., & Bello, A. O. (2021). Determinants of dynamic e-learning development in higher education: A pedagogical innovation perspective. *Heliyon*, *7(7), e07473.*

Ilie, S., Rose, P., & Varnes, N. (2021). Leadership for learning: Reflections on evidence-based reform in higher education. *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education*, *51(6), 834–853.*

Mlambo, V. H., Silinda, F. T., & Moyo, T. (2020). Technology acceptance and change leadership in higher education: Proposing the TACL model. *Journal of Education and Practice*, *11(20), 35–45.*

Ntekim, M. O., Okoye, I. O., & Ede, M. O. (2022). Transformational leadership and organizational change in African higher education. *African Journal of Educational Management*, *20(2), 45–58.*

Uhl-Bien, M., & Arena, M. (2020). Leadership for organizational adaptability: A theoretical synthesis and integrative framework. *The Leadership Quarterly*, *31(6), 101382*.

Wang, X., Li, L., & Liu, S. (2022). Leadership mindset and AI transformation in education: Evidence from emerging economies. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *70(1), 115–135.*

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2020). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *17(1), 1–27.*